175th Legislative Day

- December 3, 1992
- Speaker Giorgi: "The House will be in order, the Members will be in their seats. In the absence of a Chaplain, we'll have our true Chaplain, Clerk Jack O'Brien, lead us in opening prayer and the people in the gallery might want to join us in this opening prayer. Go ahead, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Let us pray. Lord, bless this House and all those that serve and work here. Amen."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Amen. We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Hartke and Deering."
- Hartke Deering et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "The announcement that under Speaker Redmond we used to have a full time Chaplain and I would suggest that even though I'm not going to be here, that based on the prayers that Jack O'Brien has given us, and he's not going to be a Clerk anymore, that you ought to consider him for a full time Chaplain."
- Speaker Giorgi: "So, Jack, in the next month attend a divinity school. Representative Hensel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was just looking at the board and in the event that we do get enough for a quorum, maybe we ought to have a verification of the roll call for a quorum."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Very well put, Mr. Hensel. Representative Kubik, do you have any announcements?:
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Leitch...let the

- 175th Legislative Day

 record reflect that Representative Leitch is absent today
 and is excused."
- Speaker Giorgi: "One hundred and seventeen Members answering the roll call there is a quorum present. Committee Reports, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to Rule 29(c)3, the following Bills have been ruled exempt:

 House Bills #2699, 2705 and 2706 and Senate Bill #1, signed John Matijevich, Chairman."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hensel, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."
- Hensel: "Looking at the Calendar for today, I see two Bills that have to do with the Veto Session. I was wondering if we could call those so we could adjourn early. Or what's on the agenda for today?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, well the agenda according to the schedule has about ten pages and they're working on some Supplementary Calendars right now. So, it will be short. They are being printed. As soon as they're down we'll proceed."
- Hensel: "Will we have other Bills other than the Veto Bills then?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Under Supplemental Calendar. You know the Senate worked late last night and now we have to pick up where they left over."
- Hensel: "Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair. The Senate overrode the General Assembly's funding the other day and I still have this...what is this, Mr. Speaker, is

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

this a phone connection?"

Speaker Giglio: "I think that was a line left over."

Brunsvold: "Is there suppose to be something hooked to this."

- Speaker Giglio: "I don't know if it's going to be hooked to it or if it's going to be disassembled from your desk. We're waiting word right now."
- Brunsvold: "Well, I don't know, but every time I have to call my office in Rock Island it takes 26 digits to get there and I always make a mistake on the 24th or 25th one."
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, I think if you really had to do that there must be something wrong with...there are some phones..."
- Brunsvold: "There's got to be something wrong. I mean, I know that."
- Speaker Giglio: "There's phones in the back, Representative."
- Brunsvold: "Does the Speaker have a grocery cart with all the phones in them that he could come around and deliver our phones to us?"
- Speaker Giglio: "I'm sure the Speaker is going to do what has to be done."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, yesterday in an unprecedented ruling by the Chair, I was denied a Motion to waive the appropriate rules in order to resurrect the Royal Order of the Mushrooms. Seeing that you are in the Chair today, Mr. Speaker, and knowing that you in your infinite wisdom and being the kind and considerate and conscientious leader that you are, Mr. Speaker, you will honor my request to waive that rule and to resurrect the Mushrooms because my wife called and wants to know what time I'm coming home. Can you tell us, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "I'm going to take your point under advisor right

- 175th Legislative Day

 now and I'll get back with you as soon as I can."

 December 3, 1992
- Kulas: "I know you will, Mr. Speaker. You're an honorable man."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you. Supplemental Calendar #1, is being distributed. Page 2 of the Calendar under Senate Bills
 Third Reading. Senate Bill 318, Representative McCracken.
 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken. All right. Take it out of the record for a minute. Representative Cowlishaw, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cowlishaw: "Is that...pardon me, Mr. Speaker. Are we not considering Senate Bill 318 now?"
- Speaker Giglio: "We will, Representative. It's Representative

 McCracken's Bill. He needs to discuss something with the

 Parliamentarian. Representative Morrow."
- Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's a day late, but we have some cake here for the birthday of Representative Arthur Turner. So, if anyone would like to come by my desk and have a piece of cake and say happy 42nd birthday to Representative Arthur Turner, a day late."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I apologize. I thought that you had moved on to other Bills on this same order and I'm prepared to move on 1740, which is an agreement with both sides. But, I didn't realize that we were remaining on 318."
- Speaker Giglio: "I think we're ready to move on this.

 Representative McCracken."
- Ryder: "I apologize."
- Speaker Giglio: "You ready? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill...Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act

- 175th Legislative Day

 to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the
 Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Okay. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the passage of Senate Bill 318. It was amended yesterday and that Amendment on Second Reading...is this Second or Third?"
- Speaker Giglio: "I think it's got to be brought back to Second.

 The Amendment hasn't been adopted. So, the Gentleman asks leave to bring the Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted. The Bill is on Second. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative McCracken."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken, Floor Amendment #2."
- McCracken: "Thank you. I move its adoption, Mr. Speaker. This

 Amendment becomes the Bill, deletes everything else in the
 Bill prior hereto and deals with a number of issues
 regarding waste disposal. It abolishes the Martinsville
 site and allows for a new commission to study the issue of
 that and makes other certain waste provisions that have
 been agreed to by the Department of Nuclear Safety and the
 utilities."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "I have some questions about the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Currie: "Pages...page 9, line 6 to 11, there's an exemption for

- 175th Legislative Day

 somebody from fee responsibilities. Can you tell me who and why?"
- McCracken: "Yes, this relates to a 'Dow' cleanup which was completed prior to 1980 at \$30,000,000 expense. They have purportedly been charged for storing that disposal temporarily while it was being shipped out of state. In fact, the waste has long been out of the state and this is...and the department agrees that it was wrong to impose the fee in the first place."
- Currie: "Thank you. How...as I read it on pages 5 to 7 and then again on page 18, there is a...abandoning the framework, the structure that we have set up in the past to make determinations about siting the framework of public hearings, the requirement that the department characterize sites, that it conduct impact studies, hold public hearings, provide for citizen input. I do understand that we're requiring a new report from the department, but it seems to me that we battled over the provisions for citizen participation and characterization of sites and so forth when we enacted the Low-Level RAD Waste Act some time ago. It seems to me premature to take all those references out of the statute at this time. Can you explain to me why you want to do that?"
- McCracken: "We don't...don't want the commission to...on its own, consider other sites at the present time."
- Currie: "Oh, I understand that, but I think there's a difference between taking, as you do on page 18, taking a large number of responsibilities for site characterization impact studies, public hearings, out of the purview of the department; and there is also a difference between saying that the particular commission that was involved in the Martinsville siting procedure is now out of business.

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

There's a difference between saying that and saying as you do on pages 5 to 7, that siting...there is no procedure at all in place should the department at some point determine that another site should be eligible for selection."

McCracken: Well, yes, that...that is because there is no site anymore."

Currie: "Because..."

McCracken: "And when that is considered then we would reinstitute this. The point of the legislation is merely to insure that we take the steps first and not an independent commission existing autonomously from this. That is all."

Currie: "I understand that...I understand that, Representative.

My concern is not so much with the language that effects
the commission that would abolish, that would say, okay,
you have...there was no term imposed and now we impose a
term because Martinsville is now abandoned, but I am
concerned about taking out the structure that would apply
should we begin a new site selection procedure, and I'm
particularly concerned with the language on page 18 that
seems to take out of the department its responsibilities
with respect to characterization, impact studies, public
hearings, and so forth. Well, to the Amendment, if I
might, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Currie: "This is...it seems to me a fairly substantial change in the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Act in ways that do not reflect only the decision to abandon Martinsville as a possible site. I have spoken with people who have been very involved with low-level radioactive waste issues, at citizens for a better environment, at business people in the public interest, and the concerns I am raising are concerns that they have raised with me and that they would

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

want me to raise with you. So, I think we don't have very good answers on why we want to abandon structures we put in place so that the citizens of this state would have a real opportunity to look carefully at any decisions and any procedures that would ultimately end in choosing a site for the storage and for the disposal of low-level radioactive This language became available only yesterday. If there was some emergency need to rush forward, certainly we should have been informed a little earlier than yesterday, and while some of the provisions here makes sense, approving for example the compact commission's contract with respect to access to 'Barnwell', that does make sense. But there's an awful lot in this Amendment that becomes the Bill that does not make any sense at all and I think those of you who are concerned about public participation low-level siting decisions ought to be voting 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken to close."

McCracken: "Only to say that the Lady's concerns are not well-founded. The whole point of abolishing commission now is because we don't want it autonomously. There is no site in existence now. turned down the only applicant for the site. We don't want this committee going off and autonomously making these decisions before we've had a chance to review it. This does not take away any authority from the department to regulate this area and represents no threat either to the regulatory process or to the safety of our citizens."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave now to immediate consideration. Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted.

All right, there's an objection."

McCracken: "We don't need leave."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Mr. Clerk. The question is, 'Shall we have immediate consideration of this Bill?' Okay. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This takes 71 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lang, 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 87 'yes', 14 'no', 4 voting 'present' and the Lady's request fails. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "The Amendment became the Bill and it was discussed just previously. I move its passage."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 318 pass?'

All those in favor signify by...Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. May I ask, please, if the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

McCracken: "No."

Cowlishaw: "Well, I...Mr. Speaker..."

McCracken: "I was kidding. I was kidding."

Cowlishaw: "Originally this Bill had some material in it that was very objectionable to certain people in the title insurance industry and I just wondered if that was all gone, if that

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

had been removed from the Bill entirely?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, very much."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 318 pass?'
All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Lang, 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there 88 voting 'yes', 24 voting 'no', and Senate Bill 318 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 3 of the Calendar under Senate Bills - Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1740, Representative Granberg. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 1740, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks leave that the Bill be brought back to the Order of Second Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted by the Attendance Roll Call. The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative McPike."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder on Amendment #2."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment corrects some technical language...substantive language that allows the tourism fund to expend monies for the spring. Those monies were appropriated but substantive language was lacking. It also makes a technical correction in the health care cost containment council, allowing their staff to be used with the task force on health care. I would be happy to answer

- 175th Legislative Day

 any questions."

 December 3, 1992
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg. Further discussion?

 All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave for immediate consideration. Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted. The Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill now contains, as Representative Ryder explained, a technical provision to allow the Department of Commerce of Community Affairs to expend the \$10,000,000 in tourism funds and I would move for its passage."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1740 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the On this question record. Mr. Clerk, take the record. there are 109 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no' and Senate Bill having received 1740, the required Three-Fifths hereby declared passed. Constitutional Majority, is Representative Tenhouse, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Tenhouse: "Mr. Speaker, as a point of personal privilege, I would just like to point out that some people from back home in Liberty are here from my high school. So, if they would wave from up in the gallery. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "I would like to recognize Senator Rea in the chamber. Senator Rea, welcome to...back to the House. Representative Homer on a Motion."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant...I would like to make a Motion with respect to House Joint Resolution 167. Pursuant to Rule 73(a) and having voted on the prevailing side, I now move to reconsider the vote by which House Joint Resolution 167 passed. In brief explanation, let me just say that this Resolution was called earlier in the week and voted out on an Agreed Resolution list. It creates a task force and was inadvertently included with the honorary and other perfunctory Resolutions. So, I would at this time move that we reconsider the vote."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'm sure it's fine but could we have a copy? What task force are we setting up?"

Homer: "This would..."

Black: "Could we see a copy?"

Speaker Giglio: "I'm sure you have a copy, Representative Black, it was..."

Homer: "It was...Mr. Speaker, let me say...let me say, it was...Speaker Madigan was the Sponsor of the Resolution and Representative Ryder, I believe is knowledgeable about this matter, and it created a task force on geographic information management technology with regard to computer mapping, and...You know what? Let me...let me rephrase something. I'm looking here. I take that...I think that Madigan up there refers to..."

Speaker Giglio: "All right. Representative Homer moves for the immediate consideration..."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, let me just correct the record a minute.

I'm looking at the top of the Bill, I think that Madigan at
the top may refer to Senator Madigan in the Senate. It's
Madigan, Severns, Woodyard, O'Daniel, Burman, Maitland

- 175th Legislative Day

 Resolution. So, let me just correct the record. It's not

 Speaker Madigan."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you. You heard the Gentleman's Motion.

 Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call?

 Hearing none, leave is granted and the Motion carries. All right, the Chair would like to inform the Members that we expect to be here for at least another three hours. Now, now, the Chair was nice enough and kind enough to inform the Members because we had a number of inquiries and we feel that we'll be here because of the negotiations going on for the next three hours. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 167."
- Speaker Giglio: "Committee on Assignments. Representative Satterthwaite in the Chair."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black, for what reason do you arise?"
- Black: "Madam Speaker, I just...rumors are just rampant on the floor. A major winter storm closing in on the Chicago area and I just want my colleagues from the great City of Chicago to perhaps get a early start so that they would not be caught up in this storm. I don't know personally. I have no personal knowledge of any storm, but I have heard rumors of a major winter storm in Chicago. So, I thought perhaps we could adjourn for the week-end and give our Chicago colleagues a chance to get home safely."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "As you no doubt heard a little earlier,
 we will have several hours of work ahead of us and maybe
 some of the Chicago people or others who are concerned
 about the weather can find themselves rooms for the night
 in Springfield."
- Black: "Well, rumor has it, O'Hare, Meigs and Midway are within minutes of closing...probably until Monday."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Then it's probably too late for us to leave now and we should just stay here and complete our work."

Black: "Well...well, if you insist. Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Bugielski, for what reason do you rise?"

Bugielski: "Well, I was just wondering if Representative Lomanto would be able to clarify whether we have a winter storm watch in Chicago. Representative Lomanto, we're wondering if you could clarify whether there is a winter storm watch in Chicago. He says, it's on the way."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "As Members know, we can send out the state police force to bring people back if they are needed and so, I would assume that we should stay here rather than...to beat the storm. Representative Phelps, come to the podium, please. Representative Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Madam Speaker. We just had a weather update. We called the Springfield Airport and snow storm Andrew has taken a sudden turn and has headed toward Milwaukee. So, it would appear that Chicago is safe for the next three or four hours."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Black: "You are more than welcome."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Supplemental Calendar #2 is being distributed. The House will come to order. If Members have wandered off to their offices, they may want to return to the House floor. We are ready to proceed on page 5 of the Regular Calendar under Amendatory Veto Motions on Senate Bill 1789. Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 1789. The way the Bill was originally passed, it put a statute of

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

limitation of three years on investigation of police officers in the City of Chicago. The Governor saw fit to change it and make it a five year statute of limitation. I'm not necessarily in agreement with it, but half a loaf is better than nothing and I would recommend accepting the Governor's Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Tony Young."

Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in opposition to this Motion even though, I readily admit that the Governor's Amendatory Veto made the Bill better, it's still a terrible Bill. I think the Members of the Body ought to realize what's happening here. We're placing a statute of limitations on police brutality that doesn't apply to the victim or the person who wants to press charges because there is a governmental layer between police boards and victims. So if we pass this Bill, someone who want's to complain about police brutality can file a complaint with the Office of Professional Standards and if they don't act within three or five years then that complaint is lost for evermore. The police board is the only one who can discipline police officers and if we pass this, if a complaint isn't to the board within five years, then it cannot be brought. So what we're doing is, we're penalizing individuals who may in fact file a timely complaint and because the complaint gets stalled in courts or with the Office of Professional Standards, it doesn't reach the proper authority within time. It's a bad proposition. I think people ought to think of the Rodney King situation. We had a situation in Chicago with a Commander Burge whose case lasted ten years from the day it was filed and he's still before the police board. file this...if we pass this, someone who is found guilty in

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

court of police brutality, but the case lasts five years in the court system, could not be disciplined by the police board. I urge a 'no' vote, and Madam Speaker, if this gets 60 votes, I would like to verify."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich."

"Madam Speaker, I'm going to vote 'present' the Matijevich: Bill because in addition to some of those comments, the Bill as far as I'm concerned and I've been one under all Governor's who have fought the misuse and abuse of the Amendatory Veto power, in this instance the Governor has very drastically changed the Bill. And I'm not going to be here very long, but I have always, always tried to protect the integrity of the Legislative Body as opposed to the Executive and Judiciary. I think we all have to stand up for the legislative process. Governor's come and go, is that no Governor should be a Legislator. If a Governor does abuse that power then make another Bill and send it back to the Governor with those changes if need be, but we should not allow the use of the amendatory veto power to make any Governor to be a Legislator, and let me tell you again why that's not a good practice to do. We have found that in recent and we all know the special interests that have a stake in law, in enacting law. What happens very often is special interests, all they have to do is wait after we do our job and just hang around the Governor's Office and change Bills the way they want to. That isn't right as far as the legislative process. So, I would urge Members to vote 'present' on this Bill because of that abuse."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I...It never ceases to amaze me how far we

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

can drift to find a reason to vote against a Bill, which the Sponsor has indicated while he doesn't stand in total agreement with the Governor's Amendatory Veto, the Bill still an issue that must be addressed and a five year statute of limitations is in line with what is established Illinois Police Officers under Federal Civil Rights Laws that provide for civil complaints against police That's the basic reason that you need to pass officers. this Bill. If your own personal tastes would dictate a 'no' vote, so be it, but to not support the Gentleman's Motion simply because you think the Governor may have or may not have added something, that's not the issue here. You can take that up with the Governor or you can take up with a Constitutional Amendment. The Gentleman has worked hard on the Bill. The Governor saw There still are some important Amendatorily Veto it. provisions in the Bill that should be passed and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Trotter."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I guess my colleagues have said it a little bit earlier, this is a bad Bill without question. They might have worked hard on it, but however, they didn't work on it hard enough. I think we should take action today and not accept this Amendatory Veto and vote 'no'. What I...I also have a question of the Chair. How many votes does it take to pass this legislation?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "It will take 71 votes for passage."

Trotter: "Okay. If, in fact, this Bill does pass, I would like to have a verification."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. I, too, rise in opposition to

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

this Amendatory Veto and I think it's critically important for Members of the Legislature to realize that if and when we pass legislation and the government attempts to amend I would certainly hope that the Amendment would be for the purpose of making the Bill better. In my estimation, not only does this Amendment make the Bill worse, but I think it's a challenge to the Legislative Body as exactly how far the Governor can go in writing the legislation that we pass. I agree with Representative Matijevich that the Governments (sic - Governor's) official duty is not to rewrite the legislation that is sent to him. I also urge the Body to recognize that if you are ever abused or one of your children is abused by a police officer and if that child becomes extremely ill and dies six years later, based upon what happened to him or her, would you be attuned to a five year limit on filing charges against that policeman who acted arbitrarily perhaps with a kind of a baton that was used on Rodney King recently? would urge you to think of your own families and recognize that policemen too can sometimes overstep the bounds of duty and they can also act improperly, violating ones civil We should not encourage that by limiting the rights. number of years in which a suit can be filed. Realize that you too, might be at the end of that baton one day. too, may receive an accidental bullet from an accidental shooting and I think you would want justice, be it five years from the day or ten. I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative McAuliffe to close."

McAuliffe: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. When this Bill passed originally, it received 105 'aye' votes in the House. It received 58 'aye' votes in the Senate. It was

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

noncontroversial at the time. It seems that it's fashionable sometimes to criticize the police officers and the police department and they are the only ones that are standing between anarchy in the cities. If you talk some of your constituents that are police officers, you'll find that this protects black police officers as well white police officers. This is not a racial issue. You all have black police officers in your district and talk to them and see how they feel about IID investigating them ten years afterwards. This is not a racial issue. It's an issue for all the policemen in Chicago, whether they're black or white or Chinese. They all need the protection. Certainly, five years is not an unreasonable amount of time for a statute of limitation. There's police officers now that are being investigated for something that happened ten years ago when they arrested two men that murdered a police officer. That's what brought this to the forefront. not a racial issue, this is an issue of justice for police officers. They deserve it just as much as criminals do and many people in here care very much about the criminals and don't give a damn about the police officers."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1789?' All those in favor vote 'yes', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Speaker in favor? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this issue there 79 voting 'yes'...Yes, I know. There are 79 voting 'yes', 23 voting 'no', 13 voting 'present' and Representative Young is seeking verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Clerk McLennand: "Those not voting. Kirkland and Laurino."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Read the affirmative, please."

Clerk McLennand: "Those voting in the affirmative: Ackerman.

Balthis. Barnes. Black. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Burke.

Burzynski. Capparelli. Churchill. Conkling. Cowlishaw.

Cronin. Curran. Daniels. Deering. Deets. DeJaegher.

DeLeo. Deuchler. Doederlein. Dunn. Edley. Farley.

Flinn. Frederick. Granberg. Harris. Hasara. Hensel.

J. Hoffman. Manny Hoffman. Homer. Hultgren. Klemm.

Kubik. Kulas. Lomanto. Maloney. Marinaro. Martinez.

Mautino. McAfee. McAuliffe. McCracken. McNamara.

Mulcahey. Noland. Obrzut. Olson. Parcells. Parke.

Bernie Pedersen. Persico. Bill Peterson. Petka. Phelan.

Phelps. Pullen. Regan. Richmond. Ropp. Rotello.

Ryder. Saltsman. Santiago. Sieben. Stange. Steczo.

Tenhouse. Wait. Weaver. Weller. Wennlund. Wojcik.

Wolf. Woolard and Mr. Speaker.

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Granberg wishes to be changed to voting 'present'. Representative Novak wishes to be voted 'aye'. Representative Kirkland wishes to be voted 'aye'. Are there questions of the affirmative?"

Young: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative DeLeo. Is the Gentleman

in the chamber? He is not. How is the Gentleman voting?"

Clerk McLennand: "Voting in the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him."

Young: "Representative Phelps."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Would Representative Young please verify me?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Cowlishaw wishes to be verified. She is verified."

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992

Young: "Representative Harris?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Excuse me. Who...what was the request for?"

Young: "Harris."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Harris is in the back of the chamber."

Young: "Representative Richmond?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Richmond. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. How is he recorded?"

Clerk McLennand: "Voting in the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him from the roll call."

Young: "Representative Obrzut?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Obrzut. Representative Obrzut. He is not in the chamber. How is he recorded?"

Clerk McLennand: "Voting in the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him."

Young: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Farley. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk McLennand: "Voting in the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him."

Young: "Representative Stange?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Stange. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Stange. He is not. How is the Gentleman voting?"

Clerk McLennand: "Voting in the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him."

Young: "Representative Hultgren?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hultgren. Representative Hultgren in the chamber? He is not. How is the Gentleman voting?"

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992

Clerk McLennand: "In the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him."

Young: "Representative Robert Olson?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Robert Olson. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is in the rear of the chamber."

Young: "Representative Dunn?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He's up front."

Young: "Representative Wait?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Wait. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. How is the Gentleman voting?"

Clerk McLennand: "Voting in the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him."

Young: "Representative Bugielski?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Bugielski. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He's in the rear."

Young: "Representative Petka?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Petka, is in the rear of the chambers."

Young: "Representative Santiago?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Santiago, is in the rear.

Representative Farley has returned and wished to be returned as voting 'aye'."

Young: "Representative Kubik?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Kubik, is in the center aisle."

Young: "Representative Homer?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Homer. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Homer. He is not. How is the Gentleman voting?"

Clerk McLennand: "Voting in the affirmative."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Remove him."

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992

Young: "Representative Pullen?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Pullen, is in her chair."

Young: "Representative Ropp?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ropp, is in his chair."

Young: "Representative...nope, he's there. We're not doing well."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Further questions? Representative Curran, for what reason do you...Representative Curran wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Young: "Representative Ryder?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ryder, is in the rear of the Republican aisle. Further questions? Are there further questions, Representative Young? Representative Black, did you wish to change your vote?"

Black: "No, Madam Speaker. I've...we've been here five hours and I think this is the first vote and I think it's time you take the record."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "We'll do that in a timely fashion. Are there further questions, Representative Young?"

Young: "No further questions."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 72 voting 'yes', 24 voting 'no', 13 voting 'present'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority. The Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change. Supplemental Calendar #3 is being distributed. Representative Giorgi in the Chair."

Speaker Giorgi: "On Supplemental Calendar #2 appears a Motion...of Matijevich...Representative Matijevich moves that Senate Bill 1554, he moves to suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Order of Conference Committee Reports and I think under the Conference Committee Reports Calendar it

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

said it was exempt by Rules. Representative Matijevich moves to suspend Rule 79(e) and put Senate Bill 1554 on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. If there are no objections...hearing no objections we'll use the Attendance to pass the Motion. Now, Representative Call Satterthwaite on House Bill...Senate Bill 1554. That has to educational finance. do with relation to Satterthwaite. Satterthwaite. Representative Satterthwaite, radio man. Radio man."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Conference Committee Report does several things for cleanup in education areas. One, is that it provides for a change in the qualifications for the superintendent for Chicago schools. It provides for the expansion of the use of the playground tax that applies only in the Chicago schools. for appointment limit time It provides a superintendent in a certain district to come up to the It provides for a means certification standards. excusing the recovery of funds in an unusual circumstance in one of the regional superintendent's offices and it provides for an alternative method of endorsement for chief school business officials. I believe that the items are noncontroversial with perhaps the exception of the last item, and if the Speaker will recognize Representative Cowlishaw for a question, I will respond to that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cowlishaw on Senate Bill 1554."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "She indicates, she will."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much. Representative Satterthwaite, if I understand this Conference Committee Report correctly, there're a couple of things in here. One that is needed by

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

the Rockford schools and the other that is needed by the Champaign Ford County Regional Office, and those things of course are specific to specific areas of the state. Then there are two provisions that apply only to the City of Chicago, but finally, the provision that has to do with chief school business officials, which I think at least somebody at one time believed was going to apply only to the City of Chicago school district, is now, as is written, applicable throughout the entire State of Illinois for all school districts. Is that correct?"

Satterthwaite: "The language as it currently is written would apply statewide. However, Senator Berman has agreed that if there is a real problem with that for downstate school business...chief school business officials, he will be happy to follow this up with language that would resolve that problem. If it is desired that it apply only to the City of Chicago, there will be follow-up language to do that."

Cowlishaw: "Very good. Representative Satterthwaite, I really appreciate your...your commitment and I understand that you're making that commitment in Senator Berman's behalf because I do know that the organization of school business officials statewide has some questions or doubts about this provision and consequently, although I certainly would like to see this Conference Committee Report pass now, as long as we have that commitment from Senator Berman that will work with the school business officials to arrive at something agreeable to all, that certainly is agreeable to me and I think we should all support this Conference Committee Report."

Satterthwaite: "We do have that commitment from Rep...or from Senator Berman. We also have the commitment from the

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

superintendent of education, that if this is not acceptable to those in the downstate areas that it will be followed up and restricted only to Chicago."

"Fine. I appreciate your remarks and I would like Cowlishaw: just mention to the Body that, as a matter of fact, there is an exemption in here for a superintendent for the City of Rockford schools, but it goes on to say that that only is because that individual needs some little extra time meet the qualifications. He would satisfy all of them by June the 30th, 1996. So, we are not exempting the Rockford superintendent from those requirements. However, the superintendent of the Chicago school district under this Conference Committee Report is not obligated to possess the superintendent certification requirements contained current law. The existing superintendent of schools in Chicago was exempt from the requirements for all the other superintendents in the entire State of Illinois and I guess they want to do that with the new one too."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Representative Cowlishaw.

Representative Levin, for what reason do you rise?"

Levin: "I...to urge adoption of this Conference Committee Report.

The provisions relating to Chicago will make it possible to pick the very best person to be the new superintendent as the search begins to replace superintendent Kimbro. I know of no opposition to any provision of this Bill. It is supported by all of the school groups that I've been talking to and finally, I would just like to thank Representative Cowlishaw for her cooperation in connection with this legislation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGann, for what reason do you rise?"

McGann: "Question of the Sponsor, please."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

McGann: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, continue Representative McGann."

McGann: "All right. On page 9 of the Conference Committee Report, can you explain the collection of the taxes after we inserted...that the funds can be used for public school extracurricular programs and then the collection of any taxes levied under this section may be used for any purpose for which the taxes are authorized to be levied. Is this any increase in tax levy or is it...taken away any taxes...or levies that could be used for public school extracurricular programs?"

Satterthwaite: "My understanding is that that section applies only to the Chicago school system and applies only to the portion of their taxing authority that now goes for playgrounds and we're adding to that section that they can use them for extracurricular programs. The final underlined sentence to that paragraph, I believe, indicates that you don't have to segregate future taxes from past taxes."

McGann: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Weller...Weaver, for what reason do you rise?"

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, she shall answer."

Weaver: "Representative, to follow-up just one step further on what Representative McGann was asking about. The tax that we will, if this Conference Committee Report is adopted, that we will allow for any public school extracurricular program. Is that a tax increase without referendum?"

Satterthwaite: "Representative, first of all this paragraph

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992 applies only to the Chicago school system."

Weaver: "I understand that."

Satterthwaite: "Currently, the Chicago school system has authority to tax for playgrounds. Under this section it gives the various kinds of programs that can be supported by that tax, including as you see, stadia, social centers, summer swimming pool programs, etc, and to that list we are adding that they may also be used for public school extracurricular programs within the Chicago school system."

Weaver: "Well, let me repeat my question. Is this a tax without
 referendum?"

Satterthwaite: "No. It gives no additional taxing authority at all. It only expands the purposes for which the current tax can be used."

Weaver: "Okay. Under this Conference Committee Report if they were to expand from playgrounds to cheerleaders, for example, would the tax monies spent on the cheerleading program be tax monies raised without referendum?"

Satterthwaite: "It does not in any way change the existing limitations on the taxing authority to collect additional taxes."

Weaver: "And that current authority is without referendum?"

Satterthwaite: "I believe that's also correct."

Weaver: "Thank you, for your very short answer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "I simply move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1554?' All those in...signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. Voting is open. Representative Klemm, would you like to explain your vote? Have all voted who wish?

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Vote me 'aye', will you, Clerk. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 79 'ayes', 29 'nays', 2 voting 'present' and the accept...adopt Conference Committee Report #1, and the Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Calendar, Supplemental #1, second page on Senate Bill 1160. Representative Lang moves to suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Calendar, Order of Nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 1160 and that the House use the Attendance Roll Call for that suspension. There being no Senate Bill 1160. declared. Now, objections, so Representative Lang on Senate Bill 1160."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to...the House refuse to recede with our Amendments to Senate Bill 1160 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Satterthwaite, in the Okay. The Gentleman's Motion is that we refuse to Chair. recede from House Amendments 1 and 6 and that we appoint a All in favor say 'aye', opposed, Conference Committee. 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. On Supplemental Calendar #1 under Motions appears Senate Bill 1073. Representative Saltsman moves to suspend Rule 79(e) and to place on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 1073. Is there any objection? Seeing leave is granted and will be...the Attendance Roll Call Then, on the same will be used for that purpose. Supplemental Calendar #1 under Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1073. Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This legislation provides a modest new benefit for community college retirees who are not covered by a local medical policy. It does not compel

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

community colleges to utilize this program or to cover their employees. Also, the program is voluntary for colleges who may choose to utilize it or not. The Illinois Federation of Teachers claims that there's no cost impact to the state and that the benefits provided in this legislation will be paid in part by existing appropriations for this purpose with the balance paid by the retirees themselves. This Bill is in agreement with the IFT, Community College Trustees Association, the City College of Chicago, Central Management Services is neutral. This is practically the same thing as an agreed Bill. I ask for its passage."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a brief question?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Black: "The Conference Committee Report, Representative, has stricken all language regarding to pensions for conservation police officers? Thank you, very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Saltsman to close."

Saltsman: "Yes, I'd appreciate your support for this legislation."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1073?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1073. The Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar #2 under Motions, appears Senate Bill

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

130. Representative Matijevich moves to suspend Rule 79(e) and place the Bill on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Is there any objection? Seeing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be used for that purpose. On the same Calendar under Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 130. Representative Black."

"Thank you very much, Madam Speaker and Ladies Black: Gentlemen of the House. Conference Committee Report Senate Bill 130 has two provisions in it. There was a provision yesterday that met with some resistance from law enforcement officials and it's the last various paragraph on the Conference Committee Report that's been stricken. That being the Department of Conservation police shall be exempt from jurisdiction B term appointment requirements. That, I believe has been stricken and it's my understanding that the only thing in this Conference Committee Report at this time is some language that creates...corrects some confusion on archery dates for the Department of Conservation and a transference of land to a park district that the late Myron Olson had worked on for some time. I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Only to support the Motion by Representative Black, but to only make the point that this is the Corrected Report so that we're not on the other report that did have that provision. This is the First Corrected Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Any further discussion? Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Preston: "Representative Black, I'm sorry, I was unable to hear your explanation of what the Conference Committee Report does. If you could just..."

Black: "Yes. It transfers...it enables a transfer of land, I believe, to the Dixon Park District. I may have the name incorrect, but a transference of land that the late Myron Olson was working on from the Department of Conservation to Dixon Park District, and it also corrects a mistake on an archery or a bow hunting season for wild turkeys, I believe."

Preston: "All right, so it has nothing to do with child care..."

Black: "No, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. No. Everything regarding the child care has been stripped from the Bill."

Preston: "I see. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black to close."

Black: "I would just ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 130?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the House does adopt Conference Committee...the First Corrected Conference Committee Report. Add Representative Manny Hoffman as voting 'aye' and Representative Noland as voting 'aye'. That means there are 108 voting 'yes', none...109 voting 'yes' and none voting 'no' and none voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 130, and the Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #1 under the Order of Motions appears

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

House Bill 3204. Representative Steczo moves pursuant to Rule 74(a) to take from the Table House Bill 3204, suspend Rule 79(e) and place the Bill on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Is there any objection? Seeing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be used for that purpose. On the same order under Motions we have House Bill 3815. Representative Steczo moves pursuant to Rule 74(a) to take the Bill from the table, suspend Rule 79(e) and place it on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Is there any objection? Seeing none, the Attendance Roll Call will used for that purpose. On the same Calendar, Supplemental #1 under Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 3204. Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Steczo: The First Conference Committee Report on House Bill deletes everything after the enacting clause and makes two changes in the...proposed changes in the statutes. it addresses the annexation of contiguous territory in counties in the State of Illinois between populations of 750,000 and 3,000,000. So, municipalities in those...those counties or that county, I should say, cannot jump over forest preserves to annex...annex property. The second item is an item that relates to townships and the ability of those townships right now to build, purchase, or multipurpose senior centers. The current statute allows for townships to do this with town halls. This just adds to that definition their ability to be able to do this with multipurpose senior centers and I should add, by front door referendum. Madam Speaker, I would answer any questions or if not, would ask the support of the House in the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3204."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of the Bill. The Sponsor is absolutely correct, it's a very minor technical change again applying only to basically one county in the state. I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Klemm: "Representative, you mentioned something about annexation with the forest preserve districts. Is this...maybe you could explain a little bit more for me."

Steczo: "Under present law, counties...counties that have forest preserve districts allow their municipalities or we in the statutes allow the municipalities to annex property to jump over the forest preserve district to annex those properties. In one case in one county in the state there has been...there's been a problem with municipalities jumping over the forest preserve district to annex property, but not being in any position to provide services to the people they annex. So in that particular county, what we're doing here is saying by request of some individuals in the county that you cannot in those...in that one particular county jump the forest preserve to annex property."

Klemm: "All right, but in order for an area such as you're saying to be annexed into the municipality, don't they have to request that annexation?"

Steczo: "I believe in some cases you can request and in other cases...in other cases there's situations where the municipality can force annex too, but in this situation I'm not sure exactly what the particulars were."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Klemm: "But wouldn't that then prevent that municipality from ever servicing the residents on the other side of a forest preserve district. Does that make good sense."

Steczo: "In the situation in this particular county there are municipalities on the other side of the forest preserve district that could very adequately service whoever wishes to be annexed on the other side of the forest preserve district. There's more than one municipality involved here."

Klemm: "So, we're looking at a special situation of a municipality who wishes to annex in where, Dupage County?
Is this what we're talking about?"

Steczo: "I believe the numbers here would reflect DuPage County."

Klemm: "All right, and so we're choosing sides between one municipality and another?"

Steczo: "No, not necessarily. There has been a situation where this has already occurred. The purpose is to prevent it from happening again."

Klemm: "All right. Thank you.'

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of quick questions, please?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you. Representative Steczo, is it true that this portion that has to do with repealing the right of municipalities to annex across forest preserves that that applies only to DuPage County?"

Steczo: "That is correct."

Cowlishaw: "All right. I believe that stipulation in the law permitting that in DuPage County only was adopted in about 1985. Representative Klemm has just made an inquiry and mine is very simular, and that is, I assume that this

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Conference Committee Report in no way undoes any annexations that have already occurred in DuPage County under those provisions. It would only prohibit such annexations to occur in the future."

Steczo: "Representative Cowlishaw, you are absolutely correct, ves."

Cowlishaw: "Very good. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Steczo to close."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think we've had adequate debate on this subject matter and it's been fully explained. I would ask, Madam Speaker, for an 'aye' vote on the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3204?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 91 voting 'yes', 13 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3204, and the Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Steczo on House Bill 380...excuse me, on House Bill 3815."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I would also ask the House to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3815. This Bill, I should add too, deletes everything after the enacting clause, so the original language is not contained herein. What the conferees did put in the report, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, are agreed language asked for by the Department of Public Health and various other regulatory kind of things that were worked on by the Department of

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Professional Regulation and others. Let me just go through some of the items, the items that are contained in the report. Number one, it deals with some clarifications with the Counselors Licensure Act that this Legislature adopted last year and the Governor signed over the summer. changes were requested by both the counselors and by the Department of Professional Regulation. At the request of the Department of Public Health, it repeals the Medical Determinations Board because of legislation that we passed last year which requires the director of the department to Thirdly, it makes changes in the Act at be a physician. the request of the Department of Public Health relating the licensure requirements for clinical laboratories and blood banks and other things based on the passage of called the Federal Clinical Laboratory law, Improvement Amendments that went into effect in and to avoid the possible loss of federal September 1, funds. It has a provision in it at the request of the liquor control commissioner regarding issuance of new licenses where there's...where licenses have been revoked as a result of violating state tax laws. It provides agreed language regarding the Animal Control Act to limit the police powers available to the administrator...deputy administrator and animal control wardens. It provides for an agreed technical change to the Napperpathic Practice Act and it...at the request of the Department and the interior design professions, provides for the application window for registration of some previously eligible persons. Speaker, I would answer any questions that the Members might have, but would like to reflect too, that this is agreed language in all these provisions."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Deering."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Deering: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Deering: "One question, Representative. Under the Animal Control Act, were the sentences put in that the warden can use a tranquilizer gun and equipment but has to have supervision of a veterinarian? Does a veterinarian have to accompany him or her on calls?"

Steczo: "The intent of that legis....of that language Representative Deering, is to make sure that in order to get the...I'll call it medication and other things that one would use in the tranquilizer guns etc., it would have to be gotten from a veterinarian."

Deering: "Thank you. I just wanted to clarify the intent."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion and would suggest that in many cases the language that he is offering not only is beneficial to the state and has been the result of some hard, hard nose negotiation, but to the best of my knowledge is agreed and has no objection by some of the major groups who previously had an interest in the legislation. So, I'm happy to stand in support of the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, bear with me. I'm trying to find the language in the Bill dealing with animal control wardens.

Does this strip their police powers?"

Steczo: "Representative Black, it limits their police powers and what it does, there was language last year that we had...we had discussed in late June that was on the controversial

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

side. All parties over the summer and fall have gotten together and this is agreed upon language by everybody."

Black: "In other words...but this would not inhibit their ability to serve a citation in anyway for a violation of the Act, would it?"

Steczo: "It does not. No."

Black: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Steczo to close."

Steczo: "Madam Speaker, I would just reiterate what

Representative Ryder indicated about the items contained in
this report being agreed upon by all parties, and I would
just ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3815?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Kubik to explain his vote. Gentleman declines. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3815. The Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #3 under Motions there appears Senate Representative McGann moves to suspend Rule 2093. 79(e) and place on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 2093. Is there any objection? Seeing no objection the Attendance Roll Call will be used for that On the same Supplemental Calendar #3 under Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 2093. Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the Assembly. The Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 2093

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

deals with four subject matters. First of all, at the Governor's request it redefines DASA in changing its name relative to the funds. Two, it gives a extension to Liquor Control Commission in the City of Chicago from 20 days to 45 days to issue rulings. Third, it gives Building Commission and the Chicago Board of Education quick take powers in order to build schools some of the areas in Chicago where some of these schools have grown in the enrollments and so forth and they cannot go into industrial areas. So they need some quick take Finally, it also raises the cap on non-bid powers. contracts from \$5,000 to \$10,000 which is the norm now throughout the state and country. Therefore I would ask for your support in Senate Bill 2093, its Second Conference Committee Report and I will answer any questions."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Weller: "Representative, just a point of information for the Members here. My understanding is that there's a quick take provision in this legislation."

McGann: "Yes, only for the Public Building Commission in the City of Chicago and the Board of Education which has dealings with the Public Building Commission."

Weller: "But there is quick take provisions, that's correct?"

McGann: "That is correct. That is correct."

Weller: "Okay, and second, there's also a change in the ceiling for requirements regarding no-bid contracts?"

McGann: "That's for non-bid contracts from \$5,000 to \$10,000."

Weller: "All-rightee. Thank you, Representative. I think just a point of information for the Members of the House that are

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

concerned about these two particular issues. I think it's very important for those of you that are concerned about any legislation that has quick take authority, that you recognize that this Bill does have quick take authority in it, and also, if you have concerns about raising the ceiling to make it easier to issue no-bid contracts, that you be aware that the ceiling is doubled in this particular Bill. Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield
for a question?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Klemm: "Representative McGann, I also have the same concerns, but you had said that the Public Building Commission is only for the City of Chicago. But I noticed on page 25 that we are amending the Section that appears to be inclusive of all Public Building Commissions. Is that correct?"

McGann: "I think it's old language that you're referring to that has been in the present Act."

Klemm: "Well, I understand that. Chapter 85 is part of the section that covers the Public Building Commission. But my concern as many of our counties outside of Chicago, particularly Lake County, McHenry, Kane, the areas that I represent, have Public Building Commissions, and therefore in fact, what you're doing is giving all these Public Building Commissions as Representative Weller has indicated, some quick take provisions for anything for three acres or under, and I'm concerned about that provision and I would like your explanation about this."

McGann: "I would like you to refer then to page 28 on line 30 and then also page 29, the first and second line. What has happened in the City of Chicago and especially in the

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

hispanic..."

Klemm: "What does it say? I'm on page 28 and 29. What do you want me to do?"

McGann: "On line 30 thru 35..."

Klemm: "On what page?"

McGann: "And on 29, one and two. It limits the acquiring of the real property to three acres or personal property or interest in real or personal property to the Chicago Board of Education. What has happened and the reason for the request of this, is especially in the hispanic communities of Chicago that they have grown so greatly. There is a very difficult time...difficult process to try and acquire the property in order to build the schools that are necessary to educate the hispanic population that has had such growth."

Klemm: "All right, thank you, for your..."

McGann: "And that's the only purpose for this quick take power."

Klemm: "All right. Thank you very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "A point of information, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield? Am I correct in understanding that part of this Bill is quick take in order to build schools in Chicago?"

McGann: "That is correct."

Davis: "Well, what is going on when the Mayor is talking about closing schools."

McGann: "This is giving..."

Davis: "He's saying to the board constantly that you must close some schools. So, I would really like to know under what circumstances and what conditions will we be building new schools as we close other schools."

McGann: "I think that it is very simple, Representative."

Davis: "Those are the kind of things that I don't understand,

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

those that are real simple."

McGann: "Well, it is because of the fact if you have a neighborhood, for example in your neighborhood, where the population had exploded and therefor you need educational facilities and you don't have them, you would then want to have another school to take care of that population."

Davis: "Well, you know I could really appreciate this if we didn't have a transportation system or buses. Now, you know what happens in Chicago normally, is if a particular school is overcrowded or if a particular school does not have the course offerings that you desire, we have the ability to be transported by private or the transportation system that we pay for. You know, those buses that kids get on all the time that they were talking about putting those seat belts on. We have a system. Now I would like to know, I really want to know what group is it that is going to have brand new schools as you close schools in other neighborhoods."

McGann: "Well, there's no reason, Representative, to raise a voice to me. I'm explaining to you, if I may. This is giving the powers to the Public Building Commission that works and builds the schools for the Chicago Board of Education. That power, if necessary, which right now they do not have. It is for educational purposes. Certainly you, as being an educator, would certainly not be against that procedure, and I don't think...I don't think they will be building schools just for the want of building schools. I think they will be built in necessary areas."

Davis: "Representative. But you see, let me tell you...let

tell you the problem I have, Representative, and I'm asking you a question. This is for a point of information."

McGann: "Yes, Ma'am."

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992

Davis: "This a point of information?"

McGann: "Yes, Ma'am."

Davis: "There is a constant cry by the Mayor of the City of Chicago that the Board of Education fails to close schools. Now with the constant cry of closing schools, I see a contradiction. If at the same time we're saying give us the power to take over property so that we can build some new schools. Now, I would like to know in what district, in what area are we going to build new schools at the same time that we're telling the Chicago Board to close some other schools, and then I would like to know what we're going to do with those buses that were contracted now to take students around to these different schools."

McGann: "Well, I'm unable to answer that question for you, Representative, because as you know, in any piece of legislation it doesn't pin down specific areas that this is going to take place. It's giving the power. I think if this Conference Committee Report passes, I'm sure that that information will be made available to you or even if it doesn't pass, it would still...that information be made available to you through your own employer, the Board of Education."

Davis: "Well, my employer has not asked me to speak to this, but 90,000 people who sent me here have. Now the only question that I'm asking that you don't seem to have the answer to is why is there a contradiction about closing schools on one hand and then at the same time passing Bills with quick take power in order to build some new schools. I just hope in my district we get some of these new schools, including my agricultural school on the southwest side."

McGann: "Representative, let me answer this way. We're talking of another issue other than this Bill. But I've worked

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

before on these issues, I don't think there is anything secret about it. I think it's all aboveboard and I think it will probably be worked out for the best for what your needs are or anyone else in the City of Chicago, but you will have to have something on the books to give you that power to do it."

Davis: "Well, I know that you have a very good intentions, Representative, and I know you're carrying the Bill for the City of Chicago and I respect you for that. But I do have concern when I find there's some contradictory language constantly being promoted in Chicago when you're telling the Chicago Board that they're weak, that they are not strong, that they should close schools, and then at the same time we're saying to the Legislative Body here, you've got to give us quick take powers so that we can build some new schools in Chicago, and yet we don't know where these new schools will be. I conclude my questions, Sir. Thank you."

McGann: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, on page 32 of the Conference Committee Report, on that page, line 10. There's some language about providing relocation assistance and compensation for landowners, tenants, etc, etc, etc and the language that has been taken out said, such relocation assistance payments are not available from federal funds. My question is...I guess two questions. Does this make the Public Building Commission solely liable for the compensation or does it make the state liable for such compensation and

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

then the landowner might also ask the federal government for compensation? Now, if you can follow what I'm trying to get at."

McGann: "No. I know exactly what you're going after and I respect your question very well. As you all know, the Public Building Commission, a body soul that operates in a true bonding, does not go to the State of Illinois, does not place any liability on the federal government. It's only the liability of the Public Building Commission and a bonding that they raise in order to take care of building. This has been going on for something like about 25 or 27 years in the City of Chicago."

Black: "Okay. I see what you're getting at now, but by taking the language out on the federal funds could you envision a scenario where the Public Building Commission would have to move the...give the compensation to the landowner and then the landowner could also then, if federal dollars were available, get additional compensation? I just...I mean are we sure we're not giving a landowner the ability to go to the Public Building Commission and also then letting him, by striking that language, perhaps go to the federal building and get compensation for the same loss of land."

McGann: "I would believe, Representative, you're reading into the record intent and I would have to agree with you that it would be solely in the liability of the Public Building Commission as far as this Section and Chapter is concerned."

Black: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

McGann: "Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992

Mautino: "On page 8, regarding the..."

McGann: "Page what?"

Mautino: "Page 8."

McGann: "Eight?"

Mautino: "Eight, line 23, regarding the 45 days after completion of the hearing."

McGann: "Yes."

Mautino: "In the change in the Bill there was a strike out which...20 days after the filing of appeal the Commission shall render a decision sustaining or reversing the order of the Liquor Control Commissioner. By extending that, will the licensee be required to remain closed at that point in time? Will that, in fact, extend the amount of time the establishment must remain closed."

McGann: "I would interpret it, not being an attorney,

Representative Mautino, but I would interpret it that what

we are doing is giving both parties a little better leeway

by moving it from 20 to 45 days."

Mautino: "Okay. As I look at this I was just trying to..."

McGann: "This is only for the City of Chicago."

Mautino: "...discern the difference between 20 days from the filing."

McGann: "This is only for the City of Chicago and it's going from 20 days to 45 days."

Mautino: "So then it will...will the accounts at that point be required...the licensees be required to be closed for a longer amount of time? Are we lengthening..."

McGann: "No, I think that...I don't think that that is it at all.

I think what they are giving both parties because of the fact of probably the workload and so forth, to be able to complete their work a longer period of time, from 40 to 45 days."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Mautino: "Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Trotter."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I would like a point of inquiry. How many votes are needed for passage of this...71 votes?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Seventy-one votes."

Trotter: "Okay. If it gets the requisite amount of votes, I would like a verification, please. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative McGann to close."

McGann: "I believe that these are requests that are respective of what the needs are in the area that they are requested and especially the City of Chicago. It also contains language relative to the DASA in changing the fund name to the Illinois Alcoholism Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Fund which has been requested by the Governor. I believe it's a good Second Conference Committee Report and I'd certainly appreciate your support."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 2093?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting A verification has been requested. Members are is open. reminded to vote only their own switches. Have all voted Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the who wish? record. On this question there are 24 voting 'yes', 77 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. The Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost, and the House does not adopt the Second Conference Report. On Supplemental Calendar #3 under Committee Motions appears Senate Bill 1986. Representative Lang moves to suspend Rule 79(e) and place the Bill on the Order Nonconcurrence. Is there any discussion? of Representative...Representative Black."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Black: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker. What Supplemental Calendar did you say this was on?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Number 3."

Black: "Number 3, and he wants to put it on the Order of Nonconcurrence, right?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "That's the Motion before us, yes."

Black: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Seeing no objection, is there leave to use the Attendance Roll Call for the purpose of suspension of Rule 79(e)? Leave is granted and the Bill is on the Order of Nonconcurrence. On the same Calendar under Nonconcurrence appears Senate Bill 1986. Representative Lang. Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move that we refuse to recede from all the House Amendments to Senate Bill 1986 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 8 (sic-11), and to have a Conference Committee appointed. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Representative Black."

Black: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker but an inquiry of the Chair. I thought the Gentleman said that he was receding from all House Amendments and that the Chair then said, refusing to recede. So, the Motion on the board is correct, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Yes."

Black: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Is there objection to the Gentleman's Motion to refuse to recede from House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 11, and to have a Conference Committee appointed. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman's Motion carries. On

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Supplemental Calendar #1 under Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 3493. Representative Homer."

- Homer: "Madam Speaker, I would like to take this Bill from the record."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Take the Bill from the record. On Supplemental Calendar #2 on House Bill 3986. Do you wish to call that Bill, Representative Homer? Under Conference Committee Reports, Representative..."
- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. The Conference Report does three things, all of which I believed to be noncontroversial. The first one was requested by the Cook County State's Attorneys Office. would specify that special investigators of the State's Attorneys Office shall be peace officers and have powers of peace officers in the State of Illinois. second component would amend the aggravated battery with a firearm section that we passed last year to correct a technical deficiency. Simply lifts language out of one sentence and puts it into another, and the third thing was requested by the Illinois Department of Corrections that provides for an isolation procedure for inmates diagnosed to have communicable diseases. I would try to answer questions and would hope the Body would support the adoption of the Report."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Is there any discussion? Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 3986?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Committee Report on House Bill 3986, and the Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar #3 under Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 4078. Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This legislation would implement a policy in the State of Illinois that would vendors as well as state agencies and all departments to adopt a policy, handwritten policy with regard to sexual harassment, and the Bill primarily accepts recommendations that have been advanced by the American Bar Association and are intended to be revenue neutral so that they would not impose any undue burden upon any simply extend governmental agency. Ιt would the requirement for sexual harassment policies that now exist with respect to affirmative action policies of vendors who do business with the State of Illinois and in addition would apply equally to state agencies. So, in light of the heighten awareness, Madam Speaker, of the problems that exist in our society in the workplace where members of one sex find themselves harassed in the workplace by members of the opposite sex or I guess in some cases by the same sex. We should find that that conduct is intolerable. very mild and modest step toward assuring that the rights of victims of sexual harassment will...will be protected and that there will be some thought given to procedures and remedies for those who are victims of sexual harassment. amend the Crime Victims Finally, the Bill would Compensation Act to make available for victims of sexual harassment, awards under the Crime Victims Act and also would make available the possibility for the Attorney General to make grants to organizations advocacy groups

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

that wish to have counseling services available to victims of sexual harassment. I would try to answer questions. I would hope that the Body would be supportive, and I might add in concluding that this is also the culmination of a recommendation from a task force that Senator Rock set up last spring to look into the issue of sexual harassment. They've come back with the recommendations set forth in this Conference Committee Report which in essence again are the recommendations of the American Bar Association. So, I would answer questions and urge adoption of the Report."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Is there any discussion? Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4078?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 101 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House 4078, and the Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #1 on Motions appears Senate Bill 1803. Representative Homer moves suspend Rule 79(e) and place the Bill on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Is there any discussion? Seeing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used for purposes of putting the Bill on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. On the same Calendar under Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1803. Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like to...I'm sorry I let you go through all that. I want to take that Bill from the record."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Thank you. The Bill shall be taken from the record. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, I would ask leave of the House and use of the Attendance Roll Call for that purpose, so that we can waive the posting notice on Senate Bill 654 and waive the rule whereby a committee cannot meet while the House is in Session and that a Rules Committee meet immediately in the House...Speaker's conference room. Senate Bill 654 is a TIF agreement Bill. This has been cleared with the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, leave is granted for the Rules Committee to meet on this issue by use of the Attendance Roll Call. Supplemental Calendar #2 under Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1635. Representative Parcells on Senate Bill 1635."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Parcells: is an agreed Bill that came before us before and got almost unanimous votes. It amends the Clerks of the Courts Act, to permit the clerk of the court to accept payments of fines, costs, and other fees from persons by credit card. Individuals who have been convicted or plead guilty to credit card fraud are prohibited from paying the court fees by this credit card. The circuit court clerk is allowed to charge a \$3 service fee, that can be \$5 in Cook County, amount charged by the credit card issuer, whichever is greater. The proponents are the Cook County Circuit Court Clerk, Dupage County Circuit Court Clerk, Kane County Circuit Court Clerk and the Illinois State Bar Association are all in favor of this Bill. It was suggested by the Clerks of Cook, Dupage and Kane County."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hensel."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Hensel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Parcells: "Yes."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "She indicates she will."

- Hensel: "Are you...I've got a copy of the First Conference

 Committee Report on Senate Bill 1635. I don't see anywhere

 in there where it gives any fees or anything."
- Parcells: "I didn't hear your question and I think I have misinformed a little bit, but ask your question and then I'll tell you how I think I've given some..."
- Hensel: "Okay. I'm looking at the First Conference Committee

 Report on Senate Bill 1635 and if I understood your

 explanation, that had to do with circuit court fees or
 something?"
- Parcells: "No. That was the misinformation...that was cut in the First Conference Committee Report and it now has changed the Bill entirely. That's what we passed out of the House. So, I have misinformed you on that. That Bill did pass out of the House but that language has now been stricken. Bill now replaces the title and everything after the enacting clause and amends the Election Code and provides that a petition to the circuit court to submit to the vote of the electors of the county the proposition to abandon the Board of Election Commissioners must be signed by at least 10% of the register voters of the county. If you remember, there was some problem, particularly Pate...Representative...Senator Philip's county, DuPage, with...there were three different annotations in the statutes as to which way they would have it; 10%, 150 people. This will clarify that. There will be only one number and it will be 10% of the voters."

Hensel: "Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Is there further discussion? Seeing

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

none, Representative Parcells to close."

- Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm sorry that I misquoted in the first. That was the Bill that originally passed, but the original language was stricken and now it will just clarify this for DuPage County so that they will have an easier method and only one method in which to know the number needed for the election that they are to have."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1635?' those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 103 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report Senate Bill 1635. The Bill having received required...add Representative Davis, making it 104 voting voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1635, and the Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority hereby declared is Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would ask leave of the House for use of the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the posting notice on Senate (sic-House) Bill 632, and the rule whereby a committee cannot meet while the House is in Session. So that the Rules Committee can meet immediately in the House Speaker's Conference Room. House Bill 632 is an appropriation Bill. This has been cleared with the other side of the aisle."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any objection? Seeing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be used for the purpose of passing the Gentleman's

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992

Motion, and the Rules Committee will meet immediately in

the Speaker's Conference Room. Committee Reports."

- Clerk McLennand: "The Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to Rule 29(c)3, the following Bills have been ruled exempt:

 House Bill 632 and Senate Bill 654, offered by John Matijevich, Chairman of Rules."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Steczo, are you ready on Senate Bill 698? We have the First Corrected Conference Committee Report. Representative Steczo on Senate Bill 698 on Supplemental #1."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. The First Conference Report on Senate 698 does a number things. First of all, it takes the sunset date for 12 articles of the Insurance Code and extends those dates from December 31st, 1992 to December 31st, 1993. In addition, incorporates terms of an agreement reached this month with the Golden Rule Insurance Company and the Department of Insurance concerning the license examination for insurance producers, agents and brokers to insure that the language of licensing examinations is not biased against minority group applicants. It provides that the...it authorizes reciprocal insurance companies to convert a stock form...to a stock form of ownership. This is agreed language as well. It raises the salary of the Auditor General and the salary of the Deputy Auditor General. raises the salary of the Director of the Department Children and Family Services, the Department of Corrections and the Director of Emergency Management and furnishes the Cook County Sheriff with a \$5,000 annual stipend for jail operation to be paid for by the state. Madam Speaker, I believe that that is the explanation of what's provided in the First Corrected Conference Report to Senate Bill 698."

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Is there any discussion? Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Steczo, may I ask why we're increasing the salary of the sheriff? Is that the sheriff of Cook County?"

Steczo: "It's a stipend."

Davis: "A stipend of \$5,000. Why?"

Steczo: "As for jail operations and it conforms it to every other sheriff in the state who currently gets this."

Davis: "Is there a reason that this particular one did not?"

Steczo: "Because generally it's Cook County, that's been always the objection."

Davis: "And then we're increasing the salary of the person who is a director of the Department of Children and Family Services?"

Steczo: "DCFS. Correction."

Davis: "What are we increasing that salary from to?"

Steczo: "We are increasing the salary from...DCFS from 71,069 to 76,991."

Davis: "Is there a reason for that?"

Steczo: "Except that it was...a request that it be done, Representative."

Davis: "And we're increasing the salary of the Auditor General, is that correct?"

Steczo: "That is correct."

Davis: "How much. From what to what."

Steczo: "It increases the Auditor General's salary from 73,676 to 76,991 and the Deputy Director from 64,607 to 71,576."

Davis: "Is there a reason for that?"

Steczo: "Because it was requested and agreed upon."

Davis: "So, because things are requested and agreed upon and we're suppose to be in a financial crunch. Our Dawn Clark

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Netsch constantly tells us about the limits that are on her daily - amount in the accounts for the State of Illinois and at the same time with this good business sense, this fiscally prudent group of people, these people who can't afford a lot of important things, these people who are cutting back on those who give service to the children, who are dependant on the state and the service of those children is being decreased and we are increasing salaries by \$5,000, \$3,000. It's so contradictory to what we claim we believe in, and I do think these are great workers, I believe they are giving it all they've got, but I think we're adding to the tremendous woes of our Comptroller, Dawn Clark Netsch. She cannot pay the bills now. we're adding \$5,000 to this salary, \$3,000 to this salary, Because it was requested and agreed upon. I want those people to know that I like them and I respect them just won't be able to support that irresponsible behavior, and I ask for a verification should it the requisite number of votes."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I join Representative Steczo in support of this fine Conference Committee Report and to indicate that this is a result of negotiations that took place today and we are happy to support you in this matter, Representative. Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Dunn. He passes.

Representative Steczo to close."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would just like to point out to the Membership that the one or two critical points in this Conference Report are the extension of the Insurance Code sunset date form December 31st, 1992 to December 31st, 1993 and also the incorporation of the

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

agreement reached between Golden Rule Insurance and the Department of Insurance regarding the licensing examinations for insurance producers, so we insure that the language of those...of any licensing applications does not bias minority group applicants. I think those are critical things that we need to pass and approve as quickly as possible, and I would ask for the support of the House in the adoption of the First Corrected Conference Report on Senate Bill 698."

- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 698?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 49 voting 'yes', 49 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present' and the House does not adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 698. Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Madam Speaker, did you declare the result of the...of the recent vote?"
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Yes, I indicated that the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 698."
- Steczo: "Then, Madam Speaker, I would ask for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The Gentleman asks for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, I would ask unanimous consent, use of the Attendance Roll Call for that purpose, to suspend the posting notice on Senate Bill 1345 and the rule whereby a Committee cannot meet while we're in Session and the Rules Committee will meet immediately in the Speaker's Conference

175th Legislative Day

- December 3, 1992
- Room to exempt that Bill. This has been cleared with the other side of the aisle."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Is there any objection to the Gentleman's Motion? Seeing no...Representative Black. Seeing objection, the House...the Attendance Roll Call will be used for purposes of waiving the appropriate rules for the Committee to meet immediately. After investigation we find that Senate Bill 1345 had already been ruled exempt and so it is not necessary to have a report from the Rules Committee on that piece of legislation. Representative McPike in the Chair."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo.

 Representative Wojcik on a Motion on Representative Steczo's Bill. Senate Bill 698, Mr. Clerk."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Having voted on the prevailing side,

 I move to reconsider the vote on Conference Committee

 Report 698."
- Speaker McPike: "All right, you've heard the Lady's Motion. Are there any objections to the Lady's Motion? Hearing no objections to the Lady's...hearing no objections to the Lady's Motion, the Attendance Roll Call will be used and the Motion carries. All right. Representative Steczo now renews his 'do adopt' Motion on the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 698 and on the Gentleman's Motion, Speaker Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Gentleman's

 Motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report. The
 Report contains some items that relate to the regulation of
 insurance; one that is concerned with a sunset on the
 entire regulatory scheme for insurance in the State of
 Illinois, two others which are very technical in nature
 that again relate to the regulation of insurance. In

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

addition there are salary adjustments in the Bill. Two of the salary adjustments relate to the Auditor General, two relate to Code Departments Governor's under the jurisdiction. One relates to the sheriff of Cook County. sheriffs outside of Cook County are eligible for a stipend because of the fact that a jail may be under The law simply provides that only those outside of Cook are eligible for the stipend. This would provide that in addition to the other counties, that the sheriff of Cook County would also be eligible for that stipend. Now there are certain Members in the Body who have objections to the current occupant of the sheriff's office in Cook County. That happens in American politics. But still you have to recognize that the sheriff's office of Cook County is a very substantial position. Well over half of the inmates in the state penal system come out of Cook County and spend time in the Cook County jail before they go into the state system. So, you see, being responsible for the operation of that jail is not an insignificant responsibility and in my judgment and I would submit to the Body, is one where there ought to be some extra compensation for the work that is done, and again, I would recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "All right, by use of the Attendance Roll Call the House has reconsidered the vote by which the First Conference Committee Report failed, and now on the Motion to 'do adopt', Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I support the comments of the Speaker. I think the sheriff of Cook County does deserve a stipend of that nature, but I also support the increase for the Director of Corrections who I happen to think is one of the finest Directors in the United States in a most difficult

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

department, as well as, hopefully soon, a new Director of the Department of Children and Family Services. So I enthusiastically support this along with extending the sunset of the Insurance Code for another year."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "The Sponsor is Representative Steczo. He will yield."

Davis: "Okay. Representative, are we still going to give an annual \$5,000 stipend to the sheriff of Cook County, an annual \$5,000 stipend?"

Steczo: "Representative, as the other sheriffs in the State of Illinois do receive a stipend this is being extended now to the sheriff of Cook County as well."

Davis: "Is there a reason that Cook County was left out of the other sheriffs stipend before? I mean is there a particular reason that today, in December or November of 19...December of '92, that all of a sudden the sheriff of Cook County also should get this stipend that they have not received before? I'd just like to know for clarity. Is there a reason?"

Steczo: "Yeah. Representative Davis, what has happened traditionally in the past as it relates to stipends, is that the downstate county officials first received their stipends and it's been traditional, and secondly, the Cook County officials then come in for the stipends of their own. That's just been the tradition in the House as it's worked so far."

Davis: "Well, I would just like to say, I would support...I have nothing against the sheriff of Cook County today. I have nothing against any of those other people who will be getting a raise. I think that the Department of

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Corrections Director certainly deserves a hundred thousand dollars a year and we're insulting him to offer him only eighty-five. However, I still in good conscience find it very difficult to support raises for the these people who took the jobs knowing what the job paid. It is not new that a job here pays \$71,000. It is not new that this job pays \$78,000. I find it abhorrent to annually give someone \$5,000 simply because its done in other counties, and I don't think we really know why it's being done in other counties, and if this Bill should receive the prerequisite number of votes, I request a verification. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Mr...will the Sponsor, yield?"

Speaker McPike: "The Sponsor will yield, yes."

Shaw: "What is the salary of the sheriff of Cook County now?"

Steczo: "Mr. Shaw, we'll have to check that for you. I believe it's somewhere in the neighborhood of 45 or \$50,000. I'm guessing."

Shaw: "All right. Well, Mr..."

Steczo: "The Cook County Sheriff you're asking, correct?"

Shaw: "Right. The...if we don't know his salary why are we attempting to give him a raise?"

Steczo: "Well, Mr. Shaw, I can't tell you that...I cannot tell you the salary of the sheriff of JoDaviess County either, the fact is, that we provide that the sheriffs do have responsibilities in terms of jail operations. Every county sheriff in the State of Illinois with the exception of the County of Cook receives this stipend."

Shaw: "But aren't you the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Steczo: "I am the Sponsor of the Bill."

Shaw: "Why would you Sponsor a Bill and don't know what the current salary of the person that you're trying to give a

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992 stipend to, a raise? Why would you do that?"

Steczo: "Well, it just so happens that it comes in a different part of the statutes that does not deal with the stipend section. So, we will have it for you momentarily, if you will wait. But just to answer your previous question, the fact is, that Cook County jail in many cases is larger than prisons in other states and that's a great responsibility that that sheriff has in order to maintain the operations of that Cook County jail."

Shaw: "When did the other sheriffs of this state and other counties receive a stipend?"

Steczo: "I can get the specific dates for you, but it's been a while now since they've them."

Shaw: "You don't know what year they started receiving the stipends?"

Steczo: "I cannot give you the year, but they had them within the last five or six years or so."

Shaw: "Was it...was it prior to the last election of the Sheriff of Cook County?"

Steczo: "Presumably."

Shaw: "Did the sheriff of Cook County know what the salary was at that time?"

Steczo: "Whether the sheriff of Cook County knew what the salary was at that time is irrelevant because so have other county officers at the time the stipends were given. The fact is, in many cases the state requires those sheriffs to do as recorders and other people who do get stipends, to do various things. We force them to do that. So, in order for...us to compensate them for the things we require them to do, we provide the stipend."

Shaw: "Well, to the Bill. It would certainly...I've ran for the House five times. I recognize what the salary was when I

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

ran for the House. I ran recently for the Senate and I recognized what the salary was for the Senate. If the sheriff of Cook County needs some additional money, it seems as though to me that he would run for a different job. He knew what the responsibility was when he ran for sheriff of Cook County. He accepted that responsibility then. He accepted that responsibility. He ran for the job and told the people of Cook County that he was going to do a good job at the salary that it was. I don't believe that we should come here, or the sheriff...Did the sheriff ask you to introduce this? By the way, did the sheriff ask you to introduce this?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Shaw, you're speaking on the Motion."

The...I don't believe that the sheriff should come Shaw: "Okay. back in the middle of a term and ask this Body to raise his salary. I think that's ludicrous, I think it's...I think This is an elected position and I can understand bad. the other people that are in this Bill receiving a salary because responsibility the is great, and certainly...certainly, the sheriff being an elected person, that would be no different than I, if I come down here and vote for an increase of my own salary, and we have always objected to that and I just don't feel as though it's right at this time - and I know of some things in this Bill that people want to vote for and should vote for and like the Director of Corrections because we have one of the finest Directors in the state and he's doing a great job - and DCFS...increase in their salary of DCFS Director who don't have a Director at the moment. I think that in order to get qualified people in those positions, I think that those people should have a increase in salary. I don't object to that. I believe that, but I don't think that a public

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

official who is elected to public office should come down here and try and sneak in a raise at the end of the Veto Session and put it over on the people of this state and the people of Cook County. I don't think you should do it, I don't think it should be done and I don't think Sheriff 'Sheen' should have a stipend. I don't care who else has a stipend, who else in this state, other sheriffs in this state get stipends. It should be done and they should come up front with the people of this...of Cook County and tell them, he's going to come down here when he runs for reelection and ask for a increase in the stipend, and until that is done, this should be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo to close. Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "In case this receives a requisite number of votes, I request a verification."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would just reiterate some of the points that were made earlier in this discussion about the stipend."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Steczo, please."

Steczo: "The fact that every county sheriff in the entire State of Illinois receives a stipend currently, we shouldn't lose sight, however, of what the important factors and the important issues in this Conference Report are. The fact is, by December 31, 1992, the Insurance Code, or many parts of the Insurance Code in the State of Illinois, are going to expire. So, it's very very urgent that we adopt this Report today, so we're prepared to extend those one year, as per the sunset provisions in this Act. In addition to that, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there's been an agreement between the Golden Rule Insurance Agents, or the Golden Rule Insurance Company and the Department of

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Insurance relating to non-biased exams against...and minority group applicants. That is an extremely important thing that we must deal with as soon as possible that's contained in this language. Those are major major issues we should deal with...major...major issues we must get done and I would ask for your votes on the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 698."

Speaker McPike: "All right. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 698?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 79 'ayes' and 26 'nos'. On this Motion there are 79 'ayes' and 26 'nos'. Representative Shaw, do you persist in a verification?"

Shaw: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, Poll the Affirmative vote."

Clerk McLennand: "Those voting in the affirmative.

Representatives Black. Bugielski. Burke. Capparelli."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Verify me?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Shaw. Mr. Johnson would like to be verified and Mr. Regan would like to be verified. Mr. Regan is in the middle aisle. Thank you."

Clerk McLennand: "Capparelli. Churchill. Conkling. Cowlishaw. Curran. Currie. Daniels. DeLeo. Doederlein. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Frederick. Giglio. Giorgi. Hannig. J. Hoffman. Harris. Hartke. Hensel. Manny Hoffman. Johnson. Kirkland. Klemm. Kubik. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Lomanto. Maloney. Marinaro. Martinez. Matijevich. McAfee. McAuliffe. McGann. McGuire. McCracken. McDonough. McNamara.

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

McPike. Mulcahey. Olson. Parcells. Parke. Persico. W.

Peterson. Petka. Phelan. Preston. Pullen. Regan.

Rice. Richmond. Ropp. Ryder. Santiago. Satterthwaite.

Sieben. Smith. Stange. Steczo. Turner. Wait. Walsh.

Weaver. Weller. Wennlund. White. Wojcik. Wolf.

Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Representative Lee Preston?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Preston. Lee Preston. The Gentleman is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Shaw: "Representative Capparelli?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Capparelli is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Shaw: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. DeLeo is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Shaw: "Representative McAuliffe?"

Speaker McPike: "Roger McAuliffe. The Gentleman is not here.

Remove him from the roll call."

Shaw: "Representative Kulas?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Kulas is not here. Remove him from the roll."

Shaw: "Representative McCracken?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. McCracken. He's not here. Remove him from the roll."

Shaw: "Representative Stange?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Stange. Is Mr. Stange here? Remove him from the roll call."

Shaw: "Representative Smith?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Smith is not here. Remove him from the roll."

Shaw: "Representative Dave Harris?"

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Shaw: "Representative Marinaro?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Marinaro. He's not here. Remove him from the roll."

Shaw: "Representative Ropp?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Shaw: "Oh, he's over there. Did we get Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Shaw: "Representative Bugielski?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Bugielski. He's not here. Remove him from the roll."

Shaw: "Representative Saltsman?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Saltsman. Saltsman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Shaw: "All right. Representative Farley?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Farley is not here. Remove him from the roll. Any further?"

Shaw: "Representative Brunsvold?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Brunsvold."

Shaw: "Representative Levin, Ellis Levin?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here. Brunsvold is here and Levin is here."

Shaw: "What about DeJaegher?"

Speaker McPike: "He's not voting."

Shaw: "Representative Santiago?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Santiago. He's not here. Remove him from the roll."

Shaw: "Is Representative Martinez...Representative Martinez?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here. He's here."

Shaw: "Oh, okay. Representative Saltsman?"

Speaker McPike: "You have already asked about Mr. Saltsman."

Shaw: "Okay. Representative..."

175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992

Speaker McPike: "Any further?"

Shaw: "Representative..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Shaw, any further requests?"

Shaw: "Representative Regan?"

Speaker McPike: "He's been verified."

Shaw: "Oh, yeah, we verified him. Representative McNamara? Oh, he's in the back back there. I see him."

Speaker McPike: "Any further?"

Shaw: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Laurino is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Shaw: "Representative Mulcahey?"

Speaker McPike: "He's right behind me."

Shaw: "Oh, yeah, he's up there. That's all we have."

Speaker McPike: "No further questions."

Shaw: "No further questions."

Speaker McPike: "No further questions. All right. Representative Balanoff changes from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Trotter changes from 'no' to Representative...Representative Monique Davis changes from 'no' to 'aye' and...Representative Deuchler. Oh, she's not here. Mr. Tenhouse. Mr. Tenhouse changes from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Balthis. Representative Balthis, did you wish to change your vote? Representative Balthis, Representative Saltsman. Mr. Saltsman. Mr. Clerk, is the TIF Bill or is that next? Representative this Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Change me to 'present'. Change me to 'aye'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman votes 'aye'.

Representative Shaw changes from 'no' to 'aye'.

Representative McAfee. Representative McAfee changes from 'aye' to 'no'. On this Motion there are 71 'ayes'...71

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

'ayes', 21 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 698, and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar announcement. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Supplemental Calendar #4 is being distributed."

Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill 1160, Representative Lang. Mr.

Lang, we'll get back to you then in just a minute. House
Bill 632, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This..."

Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Mr. Ryder. This is still on Third Reading in the Senate."

Ryder: "Could I ask that the Bill be returned to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment?"

Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Mr. Ryder. This Bill is not in the House yet. It's the Chairs error. The Bill is not here from the Senate yet."

Ryder: "Well, I appreciate the efficiently, but I'm most happy to be patient until the time is..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Lang, are you ready now? Returning to Senate Bill 1160, Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1160. The Conference Committee Report as it stands, does two things. The Senate concurs in House Amendment 1 and 6, which deal with the issue of the judges on the Court of Claims being able to perform marriages. It also...establishes that the minimum number of associate judges in a circuit with a population of 173 to 177,000 shall be five, and I would move adoption of this Report."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Committee Report to Senate Bill 1160?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 97 'ayes' and no 'nays'. The House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1160, and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1345. Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this has to do with a Bill that was passed during the last Session of the General Assembly and it has to do with exempting two cities from taxing an airport that they acquired because of a faulty lease agreement during the last Session of the General Assembly. It's the City of Prospect Heights and Wheeling. This exempts them from tax...being taxed for acquiring the Palwaukee Airport. That's the main thrust of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "On the Bill, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. We find that the purpose of the Motion is to correct some language. The Department of Revenue is neutral on this issue and it's a matter of fairness concerning a lease hold. We would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1345?' Correct. Correction. 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1345?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 91 'ayes' and 3 'nos' and the House does adopt the Second

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1345, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1889, Representative Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Senate Bill 1889 is a Bill which restores public aid for financially eligible families. It's a Bill that deals with the reinstatement aid upon the...and active cooperation regarding those people who are on Public Aid who have been kicked off for various reasons, minor reasons, but who can come in and...Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I understand there was some problems with this Bill over in the Senate. I would like to request a Second Conference Committee Report on this Bill, now."

Speaker McPike: "All right. The Gentleman requests a Second Conference Committee.

Speaker McPike: "Adjournment Resolution."

Clerk McLennand: "A Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House Representatives that the Senate has adopted the of following Senate Joint Resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit; Senate Joint Resolution #195. Resolved by the Senate of the 87th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on December 3, 1992, they stand adjourned until Tuesday, January 12, 1993, at 12:00 noon. Adopted by the Senate December 3, 1992. Linda Hawker, Secretary of the Senate."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Adjournment

175th Legislative Day

- December 3, 1992
- Resolution is adopted. House Bill 632, Representative Ryder's Bill. Representative Matijevich on a Motion."
- Matijevich: "Is that the Motion on the Calendar? I don't have my Calendar."
- Speaker McPike: "Yeah, the Motion is on the Calendar to suspend.

 Representative Matijevich moves to suspend Rule 74(a) to take House Bill 632 from the Table, suspend Rule 79(e) and place on Concurrence. Any objections to the Gentleman's Motion? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be used and the Motion carries. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an Appropriation Bill that appropriates \$26.4 million of which 7.1 is General Revenue Fund to various state agencies, the majority are transfers into federal funds. There is some General Revenue. The most...the largest single item is changes within the Department of Children and Family Services in order to provide the services required by the court order and to continue to provide the kind of staff services that are needed. I would be glad to answer questions on that or any other items."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? All right. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 632?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 101 'ayes' and 1 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 632, and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move for the suspension of the appropriate rules for immediate

- 175th Legislative Day December 3, 1992 consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 192."
- Speaker McPike: "Now you've heard the Lady's Motion. Is there...are there any objections? Hearing no..."
- Satterthwaite: "Review the Purchasing Act changes."
- Speaker McPike: "Hearing no objections the Attendance Roll Call will used and the Lady's Motion carries. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to stand in the way of the Motion. I wish to debate the merits at the appropriate time. If you would call on me at that point."
- Speaker McPike: "Okay. All right. The Motion carries by the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Satterthwaite on the Resolution."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Resolution provides for the formation of a Legislative Committee under the auspices of the Attorney...of the Auditor General's Office to review the various changes in the Purchasing Act and to come back with recommendations for us."
- Speaker McPike: "And on that Motion, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Question of the Sponsor, if she will. Representative, I note that the appointees are made entirely through the General Assembly and there are no gubernatorial appointees, is that correct?"
- Satterthwaite: "Yes, I believe that's correct."
- Ryder: "And is there a reason why you're excluding the Governor, the Executive branch, to discuss about recommendations for an Executive branch operation?"
- Satterthwaite: "Well, I'm sure that people from the Executive branch can be brought in to testify to the committee. I did not...I did not write the Resolution. I was given it as the House Sponsor and so I cannot give you a reason for why

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

it was constructed the way it is, but obviously they can have input from anybody they want to the proceedings of the Committee."

Ryder: "I understand the purpose of input. What I'm talking about is participation in the decision making and by excluding the Executive branch, the very branch that you are discussing. It seems to me that the results may not be as beneficial or impartial and I'm wondering if you would be willing to consider an Amendment to allow for gubernatorial appointees."

Satterthwaite: "As I understand it, although the legislative appointees are specified as four by each leader, that there is the option for other appointees to be made...appointments to be made and that those could be representatives from CMS or other executive agencies."

Ryder: "As a matter of legislative intent may you be quoted as suggesting that members of the Executive branch and the Department of Central Management Services should be considered for those appointments?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any objections to the Lady's Motion? Hearing no objections, on a 'do pass' Motion the Attendance Roll Call will be used and the Motion is adopted. Now, returning to House Bill632. House Bill 632. Representative Ryder's Motion was to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. The Motion was to concur in #1 and 2 and by the...and by the vote on this Bill the Chair declares that the House does concur in both Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 632, and that this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Satterthwaite in

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

the Chair."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "On Supplemental Calendar #5 appears under Motions, Senate Bill 654. Representative Matijevich moves to suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 654. Is there discussion? Seeing no one seeking recognition Attendance Roll Call will be used for that purpose and Senate Bill 654 is on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Representative Hicks is recognized on that Motion."

Hicks: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Conference Committee on Senate Bill 654 addresses the problems with the sales tax TIFS. Agreements have been worked out between the administration and the Tax Increment Association. Would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Any discussion? Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill...'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 654?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 101 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 654. The Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Resolutions. Resolutions."

Clerk McLennand: "House Resolution 2666, offered by Representative Daniels. WHEREAS, having unselfishly answered the call to serve in a time of Representative Les Conkling distinguished himself as an able legislator, working diligently on behalf of the residents of the 87th House District; and

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Representative Conkling, having been appointed to serve as State Representative, without а moment's hesitation demonstrated his willingness to serve by working tirelessly committees for Aging, Educational Finance, Elementary and Secondary Education, General Appropriations, Housing and State Government Administration, and WHEREAS, in his brief tenure, Representative Conkling introduced legislation aimed at protecting the public safety that would: provide that owners of vehicles used in criminal offenses furnish a written statement to police identifying the driver of the vehicle at the time it was used to flee the scene of a crime; set mandatory prison sentences for criminals convicted of robbery perpetrated on elderly or handicapped victims; close a loophole regarding drive-by shootings, the offense to aggravated discharge of stiffening firearm; crack down on the sale or delivery of drug and WHEREAS, paraphernalia; Representative Conkling has demonstrated his lifelong devotion to serving the public interest through his 30 years as a Kempton Village Board Trustee; his 20 years as a township board member; his 22 years as a Republican precinct committeeman; and his 14 years as chairman of the Ford County Republican party; Representative Conkling's has shown untiring passion for educating our young people, as demonstrated by 33 years as a teacher, coach and principal; now be it therefore RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS that we do hereby express our sincerest appreciation for Les Conkling's short-lived, yet outstanding service in the General Assembly; and be it further RESOLVED, that we wish him the best in his future endeavors and retirement years

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

which he will share to the fullest with his wife, Sandie, and their twin sons and three grandchildren."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "If the Members will be a little more quiet, please. We have four Resolutions for current Members of the House who will not be present in January. Representative Daniels, is it your desire to speak now or would you like all four of the Resolutions to be read?"

Daniels: "Why don't I just take care of Representative Conkling first, then take..."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Fine."

Daniels: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, State Representative Les Conkling unselfishly answered the call to serve when the 87th District seat became vacant. In his brief tenure here in the House, he has worked diligently to protect the public safety by introducing Bills to set mandatory prison sentences for robberies perpetrated against the elderly. To close legal loopholes stiffening penalties regarding drive-by shootings and crack down on the sale of drug paraphernalia. Representative Conkling is a devoted husband and married to Sandra, who is with him on the House floor, a father, a grandfather and an educator for 33 years who continues to serve his community throughout his distinguished career. His short-lived career here in the General Assembly has brought him service throughout and caused him to be acquainted with many Members here and earn his respect and their respect for the work that he has done not only in the education field, but also during the time that he was here. We truly have been honored to get to know Representative Les Conkling and certainly wish him Godspeed and good luck in the future, and to Representative Conkling and his wife Sandra and family, we always want you to know that you will

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

always be a part of the General Assembly and we look forward to seeing you many many times in the future. Good luck to you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen House. I have had the real privilege of being Conkling's only seatmate during his time in the Illinois House because he sits on the end of our row in a place that was vacant for the first while that I sat in this seat frankly, I made good use of that desk that was next to me all the while that it was empty and then along came a new Representative who took that seat and took that extra desk that I had next to me and made it his own little office and at first I thought, gee, I don't have that extra desk anymore. But very soon, as I got to know Les Conkling, I knew what a real cherished friend he is, and what a benefit it was to me to have him sitting next to me. He is a real Gentlemen of great integrity and commonsense and he has served the people of his district and the people of Illinois very well in the time that he has been with us. I wish all of you could have got to know him as well as I have because you would find a real friend, and though I won't be here next year to miss you sitting next to me, Les, I will miss seeing you as often as we have seen each other in this House. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I certainly want to add my congratulations to his short tenure here in the House. Though he may not have said an awful lot on the House floor, when he did speak, we all listened. He was a man who had a firm conviction and represented his district extremely well. I'm happy to be

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

able to consider him a friend and hope that we will have a long lasting friendship as a result of you now being a Member of this great fraternal group called the Illinois General Assembly."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Conkling."

Conkling: "I just want to say thank you and that's very difficult to live up to, I'll tell you that and it can't all be true.

Thank you very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Daniels moves the adoption of the Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'.

The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. The next Resolution, please."

Clerk McLennand: "House Resolution 2667. offered by Representative Daniels. WHEREAS, Robert P. Regan has been a compassionate state representative who worked diligently for eight years to solve the problems facing the people of the 80th House District; and WHEREAS, in his tenure, Representative Regan demonstrated a strong dedication public service, committing long hours to the creation and of passage legislation to protect children from exploitation and abuse and developing programs to furnish shelter and counseling for battered women; and WHEREAS, Representative Regan has received national recognition as a child protection advocate and has authored numerous measures to crack down on child abusers, halt child pornography, require background checks on those in child supervisory positions and harshly penalize those in child ritual abuse; and WHEREAS, Representative Regan has distinguished himself as co-chairman of the Illinois Citizens' Council on Children, Vice-spokesman of the Illinois House Committee on Health Care, and as a member of the Governor's Drug-Free Illinois Oversight Board, the

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Legislative Task Force on Preventing Violent Teen Deaths, the Illinois Task Force on Ritual Abuse of Children and the Illinois Campaign to Protect Our Children; and WHEREAS, Representative Regan's leadership on behalf of children has been recognized by special awards from both the National Conference on Missing and Exploited Children and the Department of Children and Family Services; and WHEREAS, Representative Regan has been а strong voice conservatism, and his dedication to the highest ideals standards of his profession and political party exemplify the goals of representative democracy; now therefore be it RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE 87th GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS that we do hereby express our sincerest gratitude for Bob Regan's tireless service in the General Assembly on behalf of his community and the state of Illinois; and be it further RESOLVED, that hereby express our heartiest best wishes continued success to our good friend and colleague, Bob Regan; and be it further RESOLVED, that we commend Representative Regan his on service as state representative and his unwavering commitment to public service."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

State Representative Bob Regan has always been an activist for working family and children. As a nationally recognized child protection advocate, he worked hard on the Legislative Task Force for preventing violent teen deaths, and fought for continued funding for domestic violent shelter for battered women and children. He also promoted the Illinois Drug Abuse Resistance Program, IDAR, in over thirty-five local schools and spoke to many graduating

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

He sought alternatives to classes. foster homes for children who have been abused or abandoned or have suffered from drug abuse and was a leader on the Illinois Task Force on ritual abuse of children which drafted the states first law to punish those who terrorize our young people. Representative Bob Regan never lost focus of his duty the people he served. I know he will be sorely missed by many supporters in his district as well as his many countless friends and colleagues here in the Capitol. He's a devoted husband, married to a wonderful woman, Carol, who we have all known and loved and gotten to really become friends with over the years. He's a devoted father I think seven children, is that right, Bob, and seven grandchildren? So, seven plus seven and I'll tell those of us that have worked with and have gotten to know Bob Regan over the years really realize what a tremendous person this is. Bob, you're going to be missed here every day but we all know that you're going to be around, talking to us, giving us advice and staying involved governmental process because you're that kind of person. You're sensitive to peoples needs and one of your true loves has been able to help those children that have not been able to fare for themselves or take of themselves. Your work and leadership will be missed here, but we know that your spirit will carry on as will advice in the future. Good luck to you, Bob. The best to you and Carol and your seven children and seven grandchildren."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As...Representive Regan, as a seatmate for many years, I truly will miss him as a friend, as somebody whose

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

dry sense of humor kept me paying attention to what was going on because of his comments on the proceeding, which many times could be very boring. The enjoyment of his company, not only on the floor but after Session when we would have an opportunity to have dinner together, would bring the legislative process into prospective. miss Representative Regan, and not only the Body but the people in the district to which he served, which served with commitment, truly paid attention to the needs of those people and voted in a way that reflected those needs. I will miss him, this Body will miss him and the State of Illinois will have been better off because of eight years in which Representative Regan served citizens of this state. Good luck, Bob, and we'll look forward to working with you in future endeavors."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I too, rise to wish Bob Regan good luck and good fortune. I've gotten to know him over the eight years and I must tell you I have found a man with one of the kindest and gentlest hearts that I have ever seen in a person. Oftentimes I would say to him, 'Well, let's just do it this way or let's not do it this way,' and Bob would say, 'Kay, I can't do it that way because I've given my word and I'm very concerned about how I impress the person or how that person would feel about me.' Bob, you're my neighbor, you're my friend. God bless you and Carol. It's just been a wonderful wonderful relationship. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Balthis."

Balthis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I knew Bob Regan as a local government official when he was serving as mayor of the Village of Lansing and

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Many times I had discussions with Bob about things that were good for local government. We didn't always agree. But I knew Bob as a friend then and I got to know him down here and I got to tell you, he became a good friend and somebody I'm truly going to miss. It's not going to be good having...not having him in the 80th House, in the 40th Senate District, my neighbor. I wish him well and hope to be his friend for a long long time. Good luck, Bob."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It's a sad day for all of us that have come to know Bob Regan as a friend and colleague or of course, having him as a friend for many years, but we no longer have him as a colleague. You know, I remember when I first met Bob Regan at a candidates forum in Joliet in 1986 when I was first a candidate, and Bob, I think, was seeking reelection for the first time and he and I have shared the distinction of having been targets a few times, and I've known Bob to be a tough campaigner and a hard worker and as the Chicago Tribune always said, he was a compassionate conservative and he's lived that through and through by caring about people and remembering the taxpayers pocketbooks. Bob, wish you all the best. Thanks."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Daniels moves the adoption of the Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'nay'.

Excuse me, Representative Regan, we'll recognize you first."

Regan: "Well, I don't know if I want to speak before you pass the Resolution. It would probably be better if you passed this first because what I say may interfere with that. But, basically, I just want to thank all the friends on both

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

sides of the aisle that I've met over the years and we've worked together quite well. I use to say that I was the oldest surviving target in the House and now they finally got me. So, somebody else will be pleased to take reputation on and make sure you that you get your high blood pressure medicine ahead of time because every two years you're going to be wacked at. But that's politics and that's life and I enjoyed everything I did down and I think accomplished a lot. There's a few people I would like to mention on both sides of the aisle. I don't want to make this like I won an academy award or anything because, really, I got my rear-end kicked. But, I'll tell you right now, Representative Rice and I have served well in both capacities, protecting children and on the CHIP board. We've got Lee Preston from the Democratic side that said, 'I'm gone, you're gone, there's going to be a hole here for people to protect children.' I want someone on both sides of the aisle to fill that hole, please. I thank everybody over here. There's too many people to thank on the Republican side. I just know that somehow I'll I would have done had I had been What involved. re-elected, is go after DCFS, cleanup the mess, and get and also the criminal justice system. I hope solved everybody here. Thank somebody fills those gaps. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Daniels moves for the adoption of the Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Further Resolutions?"
- Clerk McLennand: "House Resolution 2668, offered by Representative Daniels. WHEREAS, David Hultgren has served the people of the 94th District with distinction in the

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Illinois House of Representatives since 1987; and WHEREAS, Representative Hultgren is leaving the House to don the robes of a judge of the Circuit Court, the office to which he was elected in November of 1992; and WHEREAS, Hultgren has a long history of public service to the people Illinois, including work with the Warren Achievement Center, the Warren County Unit of the American Cancer Society, the Boy Scouts, the Monmouth Area Chamber of Commerce, the Maple City Business and Technology Center; Western Illinois Special Olympics, Monmouth College and other civic and professional groups; and WHEREAS, business of the House won't be the same without Representative Hultgren asking the speaker if the amendment has been distributed yet; and WHEREAS, members on both sides of the aisle will miss David Hultgren as he moves on to new challenges and opportunities; now therefore be it RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS that we do hereby commend David Hultgren on his years of service in the General Assembly; and be it further RESOLVED that we congratulate him on his election to the state bench; and be it further RESOLVED that we extend all of our best wishes for continued success in the future to Judge Hultgren and his family; and be it further RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be presented to David Hultgren and his wife, Rebecca, as a token of our esteem and gratitude."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

David Hultgren has been active in politics since serving as

Treasurer of the Illinois Teenage Republican Federation in
the 1960's. Representative Hultgren concentrated on issues
such as the Central Illinois Expressway and ethanol and

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

other agricultural environmental issues important to his district. In addition, he worked for improved child care and recognition of veterans with a preference in state hiring. Representative Hultgren is proudest of the Family Building Act which brought coverage for infertility to many Illinois workers. He is married to Rebecca and the father of two boys and as many of you know, he will be assuming the position of Judge in the judicial circuit from where he lives. We certainly wish Representative Hultgren and his family all the best and I know he will be an outstanding judge. I move for the adoption of this Resolution and ask that all Members be joined as Cosponsors. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. You know, it's well known that David was very conscientious and it seems rather odd that this is probably one of the few parts of any Session in six years that he's missed, and he's not here to these great words, but those of us Republican side, and I spent a few years as his seatmate, know David as a fiercely independent individual, but those on the Democratic side know him, probably for what was his crowning glory achievement, was his ability to keep files on all the Bills and all the Amendments and know exactly what had been printed and distributed and what had not and would always make an inquiry of the Chair as to exactly how long the Bill or the Amendment had been on our the rules were adequately met, and I crowning achievement was the several times that Speaker, Gary LaPaille, would come over to his desk and insure that the Bill or the Amendment had been on David's desk the adequate amount of time before the Speaker called it for a vote. I think David and Rebecca and their two

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

sons have gone on to a far better place and we wish them well."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. As kind of a memorial to David Hultgren, could I ask, has this Resolution been printed and is it available on our desks? Because I'm quite sure Representative Hultgren would not wish us to be voting on it without our having an adequate opportunity to study it in detail."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Daniels indicates that he, at least, has a copy of the Resolution.

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Daniels moves the adoption of the Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'.

The 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Further Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 2669, offered by Representative Matijevich. WHEREAS, State Representative Jesse White Illinois Representative District 8 in Chicago is leaving this body to assume the office of Cook County Recorder Deeds; and WHEREAS, State Representative Jesse White has served honorably and capably in this General Assembly Chamber for sixteen years as a valued member and Chairman of its Human Services Committee; and WHEREAS, Jesse White's character, honesty, trust, and leadership are well known among the members of this House and in his community; and WHEREAS, Jesse White's personal athletic skills enabled him to score the winning run in several annual House-Senate Softball games and win four legislative tennis tournament championships; and WHEREAS, Jesse White is known for representing a district containing one of the broadest, most diverse constituencies covering nearly all economic,

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

cultural, racial, and ethnic groups found in this State; and WHEREAS, Jesse White has received international acclaim his work with young people, in particular the Jesse White Tumbling Team made up of youngsters from the CHA Cabrini-Green neighborhood; and WHEREAS, The Jesse White Tumblers perform their extraordinary feats of athletic skill at hundreds of events each year, including at the State Capitol every Spring; and WHEREAS, Jesse White exemplifies the best in public service, and he truly serves as a hero and role model to the children of his community and this State; and WHEREAS, Jesse White leaves this General Assembly with a solid record of achievement and good deeds that we have recorded in our hearts; therefore be it RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EIGHTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate Representative Jesse White on the new office awaiting him; that we commend him for the many years he has devoted to his district and to the well-being of the young people of his community and this State; and that we extend to him our most sincere best wishes for continued success in all his future endeavors; and be it further RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this preamble and resolution be presented to Representative Jesse White as an expression of our profound respect and admiration."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

On Tuesday, we all honored Jesse White when he was sworn in as recorder of deeds and we all know him, everybody here knows him so well. Of course as a seatmate you always know that person better than anybody else. I guess the best way that I can talk about Jesse White, whoever originated the

word, love, L O V E, must have been thinking about somebody

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

like Jesse White. Jesse White, I can say, having sat next to him for all these years, is a person who loves everybody. He purely does love everybody and I'll tell you, if the world were full of Jesse White's, we wouldn't have a problem in this world. To sit next to him and the volume of calls that he gets and to listen to him and to deal with those calls in such a gracious way is miracle. Look at... There they are. That's just a slight handfull. I've seen his desk piled high with calls and I don't know how he gets to them. But, Jesse, I want to tell you in behalf of every Member of this House, the way you exude love to everybody else, we too, feel that same love towards you. We wish you God's blessings and speed ahead in your new political venture and hope that that's just a stepping stone and, me personally, as your seatmate, Jesse, I love you too."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Regan. Representative Regan."

Regan: "There's no question about it, that Jesse is one of the people that I should have mentioned when I made my little short speech. But, there's no person in the world that has done more for children that are disadvantaged and that start from the bottom and have to bounce high in the air and accomplish those deeds. I hope that Jesse will have the time to continue what he's doing with his efforts with young people at Cabrini even though he's going to be a very busy man. He's been a friend. Everybody loves him, including me. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been privileged to be in the next district over from Representative White and to not only see on a ongoing basis

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

the relationship he has with his tumblers and really all of the kids, but also with his constituents, and you know, Jesse has a secret. People in his district, they didn't vote for him for the reasons that they usually vote for us. They voted for him because he does care about kids and he's been so outstanding and you know what, this last election, he demonstrated that same secret formula worked not only in his legislative district, but among the people all over Cook County who elected him overwhelmingly. We're going to miss you, Jesse, but you're going to continue to do a great job with the kids, we know."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ropp."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Truly, Ropp: as has been said several times before, 'To start something so big that it takes others decades to finish.' noblest deeds can certainly...Jesse, when the other day you were sworn in and said, you only record good deeds, that is typical of you because you do so many good deeds, and truly, to stoop so low to help someone so small like young people, really is true commitment to making changes in society, in the state and in the world, and I just often thought, as I use you as a typical example, so often at home talking about people who are Legislators when sometimes they get bad raps, I always use the example of Jesse White as being the real man that really does things and helps people and is a real example of what many Legislators do, if not all in this General Assembly. Jesse, God bless you in your new endeavors and don't forget us, and keep on helping young people."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. When I first got here in 1983, Jesse was my first seatmate, and I don't think I

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

could have been seated by a greater guy that kind of taught me the process and got to know a little bit more about Chicago. He got to know a little bit more about downstate. I voted for him a couple times, he voted for me a couple A lot of times. Wait a minute, and you know it was just a good first two years for me to sit by Jesse White and learn from this guy because he is a great teacher. Just a couple of funny things that happened - We use to sit there and Jesse would, I guess, go out with the Bulls Cheerleaders. Is that right, Jesse? I don't know. Anyway, he...he would bring them here and sit them down here and say, 'Would you watch...would you watch things while I go do some work?' And so, you know, I got to know most of the cheerleading squad for the Bulls during first two years. When I first got in here my son came and...yeah...my son came the first time I was seated here and we were in the back talking to...talking to some other Legislators and one of them asked him, 'Where does your dad sit?' And he said, 'He sits by that White guy.' And does he mean that white guy or that black guy that's named White? And now we have a black guy named White or a white guy named Black. I get confused. Jesse...Jesse does such good things. Every Christmas he gives away, he collects and goes out and gives away over 3,000 turkeys and hams to the needy people in the area. I mean, now that that really doing some work for the people of his district and the people of Chicago. Jesse has just been a He's a great friend to all of us. He played on our softball team, and was really the anchor for the whole softball team and we're going to lose him now and we're probably lose really to the Senate next time because we don't have this guy right here at shortstop. So, Jesse,

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

we're going to miss you in a lot of ways, and I'm going to miss you because your a great friend and you're a great teacher. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I too, rise to say some very nice things about Jesse White. the privilege and I say the word as privilege of serving with him on human services as the minority spokesperson and I can only tell you that I've learned such respect for this man when I first became a part of that committee. times I would go to him and I would say, 'Jesse, we can't do this or we can do it?' And he would say, 'Kay, that's We'll work it out.' And that was his favorite word on that committee, 'We'll work it out.' It was a very difficult committee but we always worked it out. We have a great relationship. I wish you the best of luck. going to be the State of Illinois' loss but Cook gain, Jesse, and don't forget we have those wonderful Amendments, and you and I will talk about them more often. Good luck and God bless you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you. You know, I never will forget when Jesse...well, was assigned to the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee and there were some Bills I would not vote for and Jesse came over and said, 'Bob, this is for the children.' So, you know, automatically I was new, I didn't know, but I must say that Jesse gave me the right direction. Also, Jesse gave me support with the Illinois Black...House Black Caucus. He said, 'I won't be able to attend your meetings, but if you ever need me you feel free to call,' and I did, and every time I called on him, he responded. Jesse is a strong role model. We need more

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Jesse White's in our state and in our country. Jesse did a marvelous job with the 'jobless', and I respect him for that. Jesse, I'd just like for you to keep up the good works. I know you're going to another position, but we still need you and anything that I can do to help you, you know, please feel free to call on me. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I too, rise to make a couple of brief remarks about Jesse. I'm one of the people who walked in here the same day Jesse did in 1975 after the 1974 election, and when you new and getting acquainted first impressions sometimes are accurate, sometimes they are not, but they are generally lasting impressions. In the case of Jesse White, the first impression was accurate and the first impression is the same impression that all of us have of Jesse we sit here this evening. He was a consummate, courteous, polite, friendly, smiling, articulate, intelligent Gentleman from day one, just as he is this evening and all the others who have said such kind words about Jesse have just told it like it is. Jesse brought his tumblers to my community in Decatur, I don't remember how many times. He's probably been down there a half dozen times now, and always at the financial sacrifice to come and to help underprivileged youngsters and on each of those occasions I would go because of my friend Jesse coming to town and proud to have him in my community and Jesse, course as you would have expected, was always thoughtful enough to come to the microphone and give me a plug front of the hometown crowd. From...from someone coming from the outside with creditability and those kinds of things, mean a lot. Just to tell you one incident that

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Jesse probably doesn't remember and I don't remember many of the details. We were in Chicago at Meigs waiting to fly back here. This is, I don't know, five, six, seven, eight years ago and something happened and we were told we had to wait an hour for the next plane or an hour and a half or something. So, we were milling around out in front of Meigs and walked down to the waterfront. As you Jesse is a great fisherman, and there was a guy fishing down there and Jesse wanted to see if he was catching anything and sure enough, he did catch two fish and we talked to the man, but Jesse was too modest to tell him who he was. So, I said to this man, 'You don't know me, I'm a downstater, I'm nobody, but do you know who we have here?' And the man looked blank and I said, this is State Representative Jesse White and he still looked blank and I said this is Jesse White from the Jesse White Tumblers.' man's eyes lit up and you'd thought he had met a hollywood hero, which in fact, he really had, and you could tell it just made his day. He had always heard of Jesse White, a big name off in the past and here was a fine...and of course Jesse then talked to him for a while and made him feel at home and won a friend there for life. So, Jesse, it's been an honor and a privilege to know you. Godspeed, and I certainly look forward to having a...business of some kind, hopefully law business, in the Cook County Recorder of Deeds Office and even if I don't, I'll be in there to see you. God bless you. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I would just like to say to Jesse that I'll certainly never forget serving with you and I feel honored to having have done so. We served on Elementary/Secondary Education Committee together, and

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Speaker Madigan had appointed me as Vice-chair and Mulcahey and Jesse, you know, how boys will be boys. When Mulcahey leaving he didn't let me get in that chair and they knew how anxious I was to sit there as the Chairperson. So, of course Jesse and Mulcahey played this huge joke that they didn't see me sitting there. Therefore, he called Jesse to be the Vice-chair for that day. But, I think after that experience Jesse said to me, 'Well, you just have to keep your eyes open and watch.' He was trying to get your attention and you weren't paying attention. So, Jesse taught me a lesson. I think he has also taught a number of people that you can have more than one career. He has successfully coached for the Chicago public schools. He has successfully trained and tutored young people to the tune where they are now doing television ads, his tumblers, and he has successfully been a Legislator, and I'm happy that we're standing here sending him off wonderful new job instead of the wild blue yonder. Jesse, God bless you. Godspeed and continue."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Woolard."

Woolard: "Thank you Madam Speaker. You know, when I came to this Body I had the privilege of sitting by a couple of great Central Illinois Legislators and a very respected suburban Legislator who gave me guidance and direction and understanding of other areas of the state. When I choose or chose to move, I made a decision. I wanted to sit by a black from the City of Chicago. That was my choice. What I found was a true Gentleman who is a great statesman. It's been my privilege."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion...the

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Resolution is adopted. We believe that it would be appropriate for Representative to have a few minutes."

White: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's an honor to be honored this way. I'm a modest individual, I very seldom rise to speak and I take every job that I undertake, seriously. I'm just honored to have had the opportunity of serving in this Body for the past 16 years 14 of those 16 years I've had this wonderful lady called Linda Taylor, or her name is Linda Piccioli, serve as my secretary. None finer. I also...Linda will you please rise. I'm so impressed with this young lady that I've given her an opportunity to move on to the great City of Chicago with me. So, very shortly we hope that she will become a part of my administration as Cook County Recorder of Deeds and to my right is a dear friend of mine and a dear friend of this Body. He will not return, much of what I've learned and over the years, I've learned from this wonderful man, his name is John Matijevich and John, have been inspiration to me. you an You have...helped this Body in terms of knowing what those things that are right from wrong. Many times when we've seen you go down the wrong road, you will stand up and you will set the record straight. So, I applaud you for that and I honor and respect your friendship and to my left, Larry Woolard, good friend and he just doesn't understand the fact that I was born in Alton, Illinois and raised in I have downstate blood as well as upstate Chicago. So, blood as well and then Joe Brunsvold, the president, leader of the Sportsmen Club, physical educator myself, a person who I've come to love, respect and have a great amount of admiration for him. He has his head screwed on right and the people who sent him to this great

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Body did themselves proud. Also, I want you to know that on December the 9th, the Jesse White Tumblers will appear on CBS at 8:00. It's a program called, 'How they do that.' It's hosted by Pat O'Brien, the sports announcer for CBS and so, if you're around a television set you will be treated to a wonderful show. It's a one hour long program we have about six minutes into that program, and then as Monique said earlier, we are on television right with Jewel Food Store, and then in January the 'Longbow' Production Company out of Studio City, California will begin the casting of a movie of my life and the lives of the tumblers. It's called 'The Jesse White Story.' actor is Danny Glover. So, in 1994, in the theaters, 'The Jesse White Story.' I'm proud to say that...within the past 33 years, I've had 1,425 young people to come through my program. I've dismissed 177. Only 48 have gotten themselves in trouble with the law. It's been my effort to juvenile delinquency. I believe that and I don't mind, it's a devils workshop. But when you give young people an opportunity to use their idle time wisely, then all of us benefit. It costs we the taxpayers, about \$16,000 for the incarceration of an individual and we only spend in Chicago about \$5,500 to educate them. should put our priorities into prospective. I also believe too, that no one should come through this world and be successful and not give back. Well that has been to the young people in my community and it has commitment also been a part of my philosophy of life, and as I go on to the Cook County Recorder of Deed's Office, I will go with the understanding that I will do all I can to become the best Recorder of Deed's that the County of Cook has ever enjoyed. When you take on a job you should take on

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

the responsibility that goes with it and with this great Body, I've done that as well and I'd like to thank, Speaker Michael Madigan, Gary LaPaille, Jack O'Brien and all wonderful people here in this Body for helping me along the Wе have probably the finest staff that Body...that any Body could ever ask for and what I mean is, the staff on the Democratic side. We've been very supportive at times with some of the Republican staff members as well, and I'd especially like to thank my distant cousin, Bill Black, for allowing us to share some light moments together and that we never got a chance to put that 'motherhood' and 'apple pie' Bill together. Black and White Bill. So, one day along the line our paths will meet and we'll get a chance to do something that will make both of us proud. I'd like to just thank you for allowing me to serve with you, and if you're in Chicago, my telephone will ring and I'd like very much to let you know that I'm there taking care of some serious business and if, by chance, you would like to call me, do that, and I will leave this Body now thanking all of you for allowing me an opportunity to be your friend. Thank you."

- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich ask leave of the Body to have all Members names added as Cosponsors on the four Resolutions that we have just passed. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Resolution 2553, offered by Representative Martinez; House Resolution 2648, offered by Representative Noland; House Resolution 2649, offered by Representative Noland; House Resolution 2650, offered by Representative Noland; House Resolution 2651, offered by Representative Balanoff; House Resolution 2652, offered by Representative Daniels, Manny Hoffman, et al; House

175th Legislative Day

December 3, 1992

Resolution 2654, offered by Representative Johnson; House Resolution 2661, offered by Representative Kubik; House Resolution 2662, offered by Representative Currie; House Resolution 2663, offered by Representative Kubik; House Resolution 2665, offered by Representative Johnson; House Resolution 2670, offered by Representative Cowlishaw; House Resolution 2671, offered by Representative Black; House Resolution 2672, offered by Representative Frederick; House Resolution 2673, offered by Representative Frederick; House Resolution 2674, offered by Representative Hicks; House Resolution 2675, offered by Representative Granberg; and..."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Davis, for what reason do you rise?"

Davis: "Really...I really am very sorry, Madam Speaker, disturb you, but I merely could not leave this Body without saying, good-bye one of the most loyal Democrats I think you will ever see and that is Mr. Nelson Rice, and I believe serving with Reverend Nelson Rice, regardless to what one may perceive, as the hour being late and the value and worthiness, Representative Rice has given a number of years of serving in this Legislative Body. He's been the Chairperson of the Election Committee. He's also been the Chairperson, I believe of the Insurance Committee, and decided to come back January 12th. Well, I just wanted to say good-bye if he were not coming back. I heard he was I also understood that Representative Burke had gotten the Resolution together, but I guess he didn't. I want everybody to know that every sleeping eye is not asleep. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Thank you, Representative, we think that the four Resolutions that we have passed are for those who

- 175th Legislative Day

 will not be back in January. We hope that the other retirees will be back for their accolades then. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "And Senate Joint Resolution #194, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. Representative Matijevich now moves that we adjourn...Oh, excuse me. There will be immediately in Room 114, a meeting for all of the Speaker's staff. All of the Speaker's staff is to go to Room 114 immediately after adjournment. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Resolution 2653, offered by Speaker Madigan, in respect to the memory of John Merlo. Resolution 2655, offered by Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Haussam Karara. House Resolution 2656, offered by Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Theresa Roberts. House Resolution 2657, offered by Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Kenneth Manuel. House Resolution 2658, offered by Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Gus Seidel. House Resolution 2659, offered Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Audrey Henrietta Strode. House Resolution 2660, offered by Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Ruth Blackwell. House Resolution 2664, offered Representative Shaw, with respect to the memory of Frank Aubrey Anglin, Jr., and Senate Joint Resolution 193, offered by Representative Deering, with respect to the memory of the death of the five American missionary nuns."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich moves the

- adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Death Resolutions are adopted. Introduction and First Reading."
- Clerk McLennand: "Introduction of House Bills. House Bill #4258, offered by Representative Wojcik, a Bill for an Act to amend a Nursing Home Grant Assistance Act. First Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich now moves that the House adjourn. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'.

 The 'ayes' have it and the House is adjourned. The Adjournment Resolution was officially adopted earlier. We come back on the 12th of January at 12 noon. Happy Holidays to everybody."

74

PAGE

PAGE

77

14:17:35

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

--- × 6

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

DECEMBER 03: 1992

HB-0632 CONCURRENCE

REPRESENTATIVE SATTERTHHAITE IN CHAIR

,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
HB-0632 MOTION	PAGE	55
HU-0632 MOTION	PAGE	74
HB-3204 CONFERENCE	PAGE	3 3
H3204 HOTION	PAGE	32
HE-3315 CONFERENCE	PAGE	36
H3-3986 CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
H4078 CONFERENCE	PAGE	
H3-4258 FIRST READING	PAGE	103
S3-0130 CONFERENCE	PAGE	31
S -0130 MOTION	PAGE	30
SB-0318 RECALLED	PAGE	4
S0318 THIRD READING	PAGE	9
SJ-0654 CONFERENCE	PAGE	77
SB-0654 MOTION	PAGE	53
S0654 MOTION	PAGE	77
SB-0598 CONFERENCE	PAGE	56
SB-0698 HOTION	PAGE	50
S1073 CONFERENCE	PAG≓	29
Sa-1160 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	29
S8-1160 CONFERENCE	PAGE	71
S1160 MOTION	PAGE	
SE-1345 CONFERENCE	PAGE	72
SB-1345 MOTION	PAGE	
SL-1554 CONFERENCE	PAGE	24
S3-1554 MOTION	PAGE	23
S1635 CONFERENCE	PAGE	53
SU-1740 RECALLED	PAGE	10
SB-1740 THIRD READING	PAGE	11
SU-1769 VETO ACTION	PAGE	14
Sp-1803 MOTION	PAGE	5 Z
S3-1889 CUNFERENCE	PAGE	73
S -1986 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
SU-1936 ADTION	PAGE	4 8
	PAGE	39
S2093 CONFERENCE	PAGE	39
SA-2093 MOTION	PAGE	81
HR-2666 ADOPTED	PAGE	77
HR-2666 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	36
HR-2667 ADDPTED	PAGE	81
HR-2667 RESULUTION DFFERED	PAGE	89
HR-2658 ADOPTED	PAGE	35 35
HR-2668 RESULUTION OFFERED	PAGE	97
HR-2669 ADDPTED	PAGE	J9
HR-2669 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	12
HJR-0167 NOTION	PAGE	74
SJR-0192 40TION	PAGE	73
SJR-0195 ADOPTED	PAGE	73
SJR-0195 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	13
SUBJECT MATTER		
HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GIORGI	PAGE	1
PRAYER - CLERK O'BRIEN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	ì
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	ī
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	Ž
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN CHAIR	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE SIGNIO IN CHAIR REPRESENTATIVE SATTERTHWAITE IN CHAIR	PAGE	13
REPRESENTATIVE SATTERIHARITE IN CHAIR	PAGE	23
REPRESENTATIVE SATTERTHWAITE IN CHAIR	PAGE	29
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	
REPRESENTATIVE HUPIKE IN CHAIR	PAGE	<u>50</u>
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	73
DEDDESHATATIVE SATTERTHHATTE TA CHAIR	PAGE	77

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 002

€ براحد الد

STATE OF ILLINOIS
87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/02/22 14:17:35

DECEMBER 03, 1992

SUBJECT MATTER

AGREED RESOLUTIONS DEATH RESOLUTIONS ADJOURNMENT PAGE 100 --PAGE 102 --PAGE 103